

MINUTES
KING WILLIAM COUNTY
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
MEETING OF MARCH 22, 2005

AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF KING WILLIAM COUNTY, VIRGINIA, HELD ON THE 22ND DAY OF MARCH, 2005, BEGINNING AT 7:00 P.M. IN THE BOARD ROOM OF THE KING WILLIAM COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING AT KING WILLIAM COURT HOUSE, ORDER WAS CALLED WITH THE FOLLOWING PRESENT:

W. F. ADAMS, CHAIRMAN
L. E. BYRUM, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN
C. T. REDD III
T. G. SMILEY
O. O. WILLIAMS

L. M. CHENAULT, COUNTY ATTORNEY
FRANK A. PLEVA, COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

RE: APPROVAL OF MEETING AGENDA

On motion by C. T. Redd III, seconded by L. E. Bryum, Jr. and carried unanimously, the Board adopted the agenda for this meeting as presented by the County Administrator with four additions.

RE: PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD – SPEAKERS: ONE OPPORTUNITY OF THREE MINUTES PER INDIVIDUAL OR FIVE MINUTES PER GROUP ON NON-PUBLIC HEARING MATTERS

a. Mr. Andy Conklin, Mayor of the Town of West Point, addressed the Board concerning the proposed tax levy increase of \$.23/\$100 on real estate for 2005. He pointed out that this is a tremendous levy increase in addition to the increase of property values with the reassessment just completed, and will have a tremendous impact on the Town residents, as most are moderate income persons. He indicated that the Town Council and the Town residents have a great interest in how this money is spent, and invited representatives of the Board and a staff member to meet with the West Point Town Council representatives and staff member to provide this information. The joint meeting was set for 4:30 p.m. on Monday, March 28, 2005, in the West Point

Town Hall. The Board determined that C. Thomas Redd III and Chairman, W. F. Adams would represent the Board at this meeting.

b. Authur Dandridge, who referred to himself as the ice cream man of King William County, requested the Board to investigate the possibility of lowering the fee for a Peddler's License. Currently, this fee is set at \$500.00 and other small businesses in the County register and pay a nominal fee of \$30.00 per year under BPOL. He indicated that this is a seasonal business and he just does it for the enjoyment of it and for the children. It is not his primary work.

The Board took this request under advisement.

RE: CONSENT AGENDA

On motion by L. E. Byrum, Jr., seconded by T. G. Smiley and carried unanimously, the Board approved the following items on its consent agenda:

a. Minutes of the February 28, 2005, Regular Meeting were approved as written

b. Claims against the County for March, 2005, in the amount of \$1,032,843.73 as follows:

(1) General Fund Warrants #58419-58581 in the amount of \$831,444.16; Manual Check #14050 in the amount of \$3,947.36; Direct Deposits #6942-7040 in the amount of \$149,486.49; and Electronic Tax Payment in the amount of \$47,965.72

(2) For informational purposes, Social Services expenditures for the month of February, 2005, Warrants #304003-304078 in the amount of \$49,485.47; Direct Deposits #1160-1176 in the amount of \$47,965.72; and Electronic Tax Payment in the amount of \$7,559.97

(3) For informational purposes, Circuit Court expenditures for the month of February, 2005, Warrants #58391-58398 in the amount of \$5,561.06; Director Deposits #150-152 in the amount of \$7,585.29

(4) For informational purposes, Comprehensive Services Act Fund expenditures for February, 2005, Warrants #58400-58417 in the amount of \$52,420.73

(5) Tax Refunds for February, 2005, in the amount of \$2,750.75

c. Adopted the following two amendments to Article III – Agenda, of the By-Laws of the King William County Board of Supervisors:

NOTE: Proposed words to be added are underlined.

Amendment # 1:

Any matter not disposed of at a regular meeting shall be included on the agenda of the next regular meeting, except that the Board may continue consideration and disposition of the matter at a date, time, and/or place other than the next regular meeting upon a majority vote of those members present.

Amendment #2:

Except as provided herein, no regular, special or closed meeting or public hearing of the Board of Supervisors shall continue after 11:00 p.m., but such meeting or public hearing shall be continued to the next regular meeting or another date, time, and place established by a majority vote of those members present. Any meeting or public hearing matter under consideration by the Board at 11:00 p.m. may continue to be considered and acted upon after said time and prior to the adjournment of the meeting or public hearing. After 11:00 p.m., the Board may consider and act upon any or all of the matters remaining on the meeting or public hearing agenda but not yet considered by the Board upon a majority vote of those members present.

d. Approved the FY-05 fourth quarter appropriation for King William County Schools in the total amount of \$3,964,051.00.

In addition, the Board approved a supplemental appropriation of \$133,811.00 in additional state revenue received due to additional students above the budgeted number. Of this supplemental appropriation, the School Board's request to add \$30,000.00 to Transportation to cover the additional needs for fuel, and to place the remaining amount of \$103,811.00 in Instruction to help cover the costs of accommodating the extra students, was approved by the Board of Supervisors.

e. Authorized a public hearing to be held during the Board's regular April 25, 2005, meeting to consider Zoning Case Z-01-05, Request for Change of Zoning Classification from AC to B-1 on a 10.5 acre tract, Applicant: Howard Townsend, and ordered advertisement of same.

f. Authorized a public hearing to be held during the Board's regular April 25, 2005, meeting, to consider Zoning Case, CUP-01-05, Request for a Conditional Use Permit for an Airport and Landing Field on a site located approximately 400 feet to the North of the Romancoke Manor House, Applicant: Charles Elis Olsson, and ordered advertisement of same.

RE: VDOT MATTERS – CHARLES E. STUNKLE, RESIDENT ENGINEER

a. Route 600 Speed Study - Charles Stunkle, VDOT Resident Engineer, reported that the Speed Study requested by the Board to be done on Route 600 at Aylett to consider increasing the speed limit from 25 MPH to 35 MPH has been completed by the Traffic Engineers, and they determined that basically there has been no change in the road or the traffic since the 25 MPH speed limit was set back in 2000, and, therefore, recommended that no change be made in the speed limit. In addition, Mr. Stunkle stated that the VDOT Engineers talked to both the Virginia State Police and the King William County Sheriff's Department and both of these agencies recommended against raising the speed limit.

b. Route 30 at its Intersection with Route 617 Along Where Several Businesses Are Located - Speed Study - Mr. Stunkle reported that the Speed Study requested by the Board on Route 30 at its Intersection with Route 617 has also been completed. The Speed Study showed that the 85th percentile of traffic coming through this area was traveling about 60 MPH, and because there is good site distance, they felt it did not warrant reducing the speed, however, they have already erected "Watch for Turning Vehicles" signs with 45 MPH Advisory Speed Plates in the area, and at the same time, VDOT replaced the existing "Rescue Squad" signs with larger signs.

Chairman Adams questioned why VDOT would erect the cautionary signs if they felt the speed limit should not be lowered. Mr. Stunkle responded that VDOT recognizes that there are significant turning movements taking place in this area and they wanted to notify the through motorist that they could expect to encounter vehicles making the turns. Mr. Stunkle, continued, indicating that ideally in this situation, it would be great to have both left and right turn lanes in front of all these businesses, but unfortunately, if this were done, the businesses would not be there anymore. There just isn't enough space.

c. Route 30 – Lower Leg of Court House Lane - Mr. Stunkle reported his understanding of increased traffic on the lower leg of Court House Lane in front of St. James Church, probably due to occupancy of the new Courts and Public Safety Facility. This is a very tight turn coming out onto Route 30 heading towards West Point. It comes in at an acute angle. VDOT can do some paving to improve the situation that will allow vehicles to pull up at a 90-degree angle to be able to see both ways before entering Route 30.

Mr. Stunkle agreed that the alignment for the new road as proposed as part of the Court House Master Plan is an excellent idea of what we need to be aiming for as the long-range solution to this problem, but in the short term, VDOT can do something to help improve the situation. Mr. Stunkle indicated that this paving would be done this summer.

d. Dabney's Mill Road North of Route 360 - Mr. Stunkle reported that VDOT held a rescoping on the two curve improvement projects on Dabney's Mill Road, north of Route 360. Alignment changes were made due to environmental and soil conditions issues that had been encountered when VDOT first started doing the preliminary engineering. The current estimate for the two projects is \$723,479,000.00. These are increases of 32% and 15% over the estimates in the Six-Year Plan as was adopted by the Board of Supervisors last fall. The two projects together make up about 35% of the money that is contained in the Six-Year Plan. Mr. Stunkle indicated that this is something the Board should be thinking about – if these two projects are to remain the next priority for major secondary reconstruction, after the Route 604 project that is underway.

e. Route 603 – Dover Road - Mr. Stunkle updated the Board on the Route 603 Project indicating that this project is scheduled to be hard surfaced beginning in July, 2006. Originally, this project was scheduled for this year, but the price increased and the 70% funding will not be available until July 1, 2006.

f. Date Set for Public Hearing on Six Year Plan for Primary System – Mr. Stunkle announced that a public hearing on the Six Year Plan for the Primary, Interstate, and Urban System is scheduled for 5:00 p.m. on April 19, 2005, via video conference from the VDOT Central Office and will also be broadcast to the District

Auditorium in Fredericksburg. Written comments will also be accepted. A formal written announcement of this public hearing should be forthcoming shortly.

g. Route 648 – White Bank Road - Chairman, W. F. Adams made Mr. Stunkle and the Board aware of the fact that the area on Route 648 that was paved, has been disturbed somewhat by logging trucks. Property owners to the back of this paved area have been logging their property and the trucks have torn up the roadway again. Mr. Stunkle advised that VDOT will look into this matter.

h. Route 30 at the Entrance to McCauley Park Subdivision - C. T. Redd III discussed with Mr. Stunkle, the issue of the roughness of the paving on Route 30 at the entrance into McCauley Park Subdivision, as was brought to the Board's attention during its February meeting. Mr. Redd questioned whether it is VDOT's responsibility or the responsibility of the contractor to correct this situation. Mr. Stunkle stated that the contractor has already done some patchwork at this site but that is not all that needs to be done. He further indicated that the entire length of Route 30 through the County is scheduled to be overlaid this summer, and this will take care of the irregularities at this site.

L. E. Byrum, Jr. requested that VDOT take a closer look at the pavement markings on Route 30 at this entrance into McCauley Park as the markings and rough roadway are creating a safety hazard. He requested VDOT to do some minor improvements now to improve safety. Mr. Stunkle indicated he would look into the matter.

i. Route 360 (Richmond-Tappahannock Highway) – Requested Speed Study in Aylett Area Along in Front of Southside Bank and the King William Pharmacy

L. E. Byrum, Jr. requested VDOT to conduct a Speed Study on Route 360 in the Aylett area along in front of the Southside Bank and in the area of the King William Pharmacy, etc. to determine if a lowering of the speed limit along this section of Route 360 is warranted. He expressed concern for persons pulling out onto Route 360 from these businesses along this section of highway since the speed limit has now been increased to 60 MPH.

j. Route 360 and Route 605 Speed Study – L. E. Byrum, Jr. also inquired about the Speed Study requested by fellow Board member, T. G. Smiley, several

months ago for the section of Route 360 at its intersection with Route 605. Mr. Stunkle responded that VDOT will revisit the speed limit posted on Route 360 from river to river throughout the County, but he indicated that VDOT needs to have a formal request, in writing, from the Board for this to be done, since this is an extensive task.

k. Route 360 – Pile of Debris Alongside Westbound Lane Near Central Garage – L. E. Byrum, Jr. questioned Mr. Stunkle as to why a pile of debris located alongside the westbound lane of Route 360 near Central Garage, which was deposited there from an overturned trailer accident, has not been removed. It was learned that cleanup of this debris is the responsibility of the owner of the accident vehicle or the towing service. Sheriff Walton was present and indicated that he has been in contact with the Virginia State Police regarding removal of this debris pile. Mr. Stunkle indicated that he would follow up on this matter.

i. Route 618 - Mr. Stunkle reported that the final plans on the bridge project on Route 618 are on target to be delivered to the Fredericksburg office on March 31, 2005. The Bridge Section indicates it has applied for all the necessary permits they will need to get in the stream to do this work. Once his office has the plans and the necessary permits, a contractor is to be secured to do the work.

Mr. Smiley recalled that at a previous meeting, Mr. Stunkle had indicated that this Route 618 bridge project would be tied in with some other work to be done on the Route 360 Moncuin Creek bridge, so he requested that the Route 618 bridge project be made the number one priority project prior to the Route 360 Moncuin Creek bridge project. Mr. Stunkle indicated that basically what was experienced with Tropical Storm Gaston on the Moncuin Creek Bridge was some scour around the piers that VDOT will have to get into the stream to repair. This project is just upstream from the Route 618 Bridge.

j. Route 608 – Hazelwood Road - O. O. Williams reported on complaints he is receiving from constituents regarding Route 608 (Hazelwood Road), the dirt road portion, and requested Mr. Stunkle to investigate the matter.

k. Route 653 - (Towinque Farm Road) near Oak Grove Church - O. O. Williams also reported on complaints on Route 653 (Towinque Farm Road) near Oak Grove Church to Mr. Stunkle, and asked that this be investigated.

l. Route 604 and Route 615 Intersection – O. O. Williams discussed problems with site distance for vehicles entering from this intersection and requested that VDOT do something at this intersection to help eliminate the traffic hazard. He compared this site distance problem with the one discussed by Mr. Stunkle earlier in this meeting at the new Courthouse entrance at the intersection of Route 30 and Route 1301 (Courthouse Lane). Mr. Williams questioned why VDOT can take such quick action to help alleviate the problem at this intersection and cannot act as quickly at the intersection of Route 605 and 615 located in his District. Mr. Stunkle responded that one of the reasons VDOT can act so quickly at the intersection of Route 30 and Route 1301 is the fact that King William County is the owner of the property and will allow VDOT to encroach to do this work, and maybe the property owner/s at Route 605 and Route 615 may not be so agreeable. Mr. Williams inquired about the amount of right-of-way VDOT currently has at this intersection in which to work, and Mr. Stunkle indicated that it is probably only 30 foot prescribed easements. Mr. Williams indicated that if you look at the road and the way it used to be, it looks like the road used to turn closer to the house. The area has now been built up so this part of the road may not have been used for some time, but if you look at the intersection it shows to the side, where years ago, vehicles used to drive and pull straight out at the intersection, but today, it is a hole because it is down.

Mr. Stunkle pointed out that this intersection is on a project that is included in the Six Year Plan.

RE: PRESENTATION OF FY-04 AUDIT – DAVID L. HUGHES, PRINCIPAL OF ROBINSON, FARMER, COX ASSOCIATES

Mr. David Hughes, a Principal of the firm of Robinson, Farmer, Cox Associates, auditors for King William County, addressed the Board to present and review the FY-04 Audit . Mr. Hughes explained that this Report was submitted to the Government Finance Officers Association (GFOA) and the financial staff of King William County received the Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting again for

the 7th year running. In highlighting some of the items in the Report, Mr. Hughes indicated that the County's tax collections are good and its assessed property values are very positive.

**RE: PLANNING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT – LEE YOLTON,
DIRECTOR**

a. Public Hearing – Zoning Case #Z-04-04, (Tax Map Parcel 28-69A) Request to Rezone 25.22 Acres From Agriculture-Conservation District to Industrial Zoning District with Proffered Conditions, Applicant: Fontainebleau Farm, Inc., David S. Robinson, Jr. - Lee Yolton, Director of Community Development, presented the above stated application and indicated that the Board had discussed this case quite extensively at its last meeting, and after considerable discussion about the merits of the case, and after the staff had given a report, and after the applicant's representative, Mr. Randy Cook, had gone through the slide show and discussed all the situations with regard to this request, the Board tabled the holding of its public hearing until this meeting tonight, with the idea that the applicant would work on some of the proffered conditions that were of concern by members of the Board. Since that time, the applicant has submitted revised proffered conditions with this case. Mr. Yolton reported that he and the County Attorney have reviewed these proposed revised proffered conditions, and they both feel that the applicant has done a good job in addressing what the Board expressed as its concerns at the last meeting.

Mr. Yolton advised that the staff is recommending approval of this case with the revised proffered conditions.

Mr. Randy Cook, attorney for the applicant, appeared before the Board and reviewed the proposed revised proffered conditions in great detail beginning with Proffer #2, Buffer Area. He reported that significant language has been added to this proffer which now states: "A buffer of 50 feet in width shall be maintained along the property's frontage on Route 613 (Dunluce Road). In addition, a buffer of 50 feet in width shall be maintained along the property's southernmost boundary. If any portion of the buffer is substantially cleared of trees or other vegetative growth and such portion no longer serves to adequately screen the property, as determined by the County Zoning Administrator, the property owner shall plant any such substantially

cleared portion of the buffer with a double row of evergreen trees, not less than six feet in height, arranged in a staggered planting alignment. Landscaping in the buffer shall be maintained in a healthy condition; damaged and diseased material to be removed and replanted as needed.”

Mr. Cook explained that with this language, his client was trying to address the concerns of the Board that if by chance an easement goes through the buffer along the road or along the side, and it doesn't serve as a buffer any longer, then this cleared portion of the buffer would be replanted with evergreen trees and the replanted area would be maintained. This is to be determined by the County Zoning Administrator, not by the property owner at that point in time.

Mr. Cook then addressed the Access Issue with Proffer #3, Access. He stated that there was legitimate concern about the potential number of accesses into the property. He and his client have revisited this issue and determined they could live with no more than two entrances, which is substantially less than discussed at the last meeting. He further explained that the pavement would have to be extended to both of these accesses on Dunluce Road before these two accesses could be offered. To address the concerns of the property owners across from this property on Dunluce Road and their current entrance into their home, the revised proffer states as follows:

Proffer #3, Access: “There shall be no direct access to the property from any portion of Route 613 (Dunluce Road) until the paving of Dunluce Road has been extended from the current end of the pavement to the planned point of entrance into the property. There shall not be more than two entrances into the property from Dunluce Road and such entrances shall be not less than 500 apart. Any entrance into the property shall be located so as to be at least 100 feet from the centerline of the current entrance into the property located on the eastern side of Dunluce Road identified as Tax Parcel 29-87.” Mr. Cook explained that Tax Map Parcel 29-87 is the Frank Brooks property. Any entrance into the applicant's property would have to be staggered or offset by at least 100 feet from the entrance into the Brooks' property.

Mr. Cook then reviewed Proffer #5, Public Utilities: He indicated that minor language changes were made for clarification. Proffer #5, Public Utilities, states: “At such time as the property owner receives County approval to service the property with

water and sewer service through the King William County public utility system, the extension of such water and sewer lines from the point of connection with the County water and sewer systems to the property shall be completed at the expense of the property owner. King William County may, however, extend such utility lines on its own accord if it chooses to do so.”

Mr. Cook explained that if the County had a potential industrial client coming in, and the County felt like that is what it would take to sway them onto this property, and the County decided it wanted to run the lines, obviously, this applicant would not stop the County from doing so. The applicant wanted to make it abundantly clear that it would not be his intent to try to stop the County from extending utility lines to this property.

T. G. Smiley questioned Mr. Cook regarding the point of connection for utility lines to this property, would the point of connection be to where the water lines run to currently, or would the County have to run lines to the edge of this parcel. Mr. Cook responded that wherever the County determines that the applicant should connect to, wherever the County utility line is closest to, for this parcel, then the applicant has to pay the cost from that point on. Mr. Cook further explained that currently the County water and sewer connections are very close to this parcel.

C. T. Redd III asked if the County Attorney has any suggestions or concerns with any of these revised proffers. L. M. Chenault, County Attorney, responded that he and Lee Yolton, Community Development Director, discussed these extensively, made suggestions to Mr. Cook, and Mr. Cook incorporated all of their suggestions, and that all of their suggestions were based on comments from the Board of Supervisors at its last meeting.

Thereupon, the Chairman declared the public hearing open to receive comments on Zoning Case #Z-04-04.

Frank Brooks, owner of Dunluce Farm, which is located across from the proposed application site, presented several pictures to the Board depicting Dunluce Road and some of the conditions, potholes, etc., found there, and indicated that approximately half of Dunluce Road is still a dirt road, and that by approving this request for industrial zoning, more traffic will be using this dirt road. He stated that if a

County subdivision is approved, it is required that the road be paved prior to approving the subdivision, but, in this application, you will approving an industrial site prior to the road being paved. Mr. Brooks also indicated that just because Dunluce Road is paved in one direction, it does not stop traffic from traveling in the other direction. A lot of the traffic now coming out of the existing industrial park, turn south and travel on the unpaved portion.

He pointed out that there still exists 14 vacant parcels, plus a larger residual area in this industrial park that have already been rezoned, but are still vacant. He questioned why it is now necessary to rezone this parcel, with no business prospect. He felt it would be better, from the County's standpoint, to wait to rezone this parcel until there is a firm business prospect, and then determine if it is compatible with what the County's objectives are, and if needed, the County can establish limitations and review proffers at that time.

Laura Ann Brooks, owner of Dunluce Farm, addressed the Board regarding the historic nature of Dunluce House, which was built in 1730, and is one of the oldest houses in the County. She felt this area is not an appropriate place to establish an industrial site. She discussed the current traffic flow on Dunluce Road and the potential increase that will be generated. She stated that there is a lot of traffic on Route 613 (Dunluce Road) that probably the Board is not aware of, plus she feels the entrance from Route 613 to Route 30 has not been appropriately engineered. She feels this needs to be taken into consideration in approving this application. The semi-tractor/trailers have to back up for one another to get through and it is difficult to see how to turn out from Route 613 onto Route 30.

Mrs. Brooks also questioned what type of business will be going onto this industrial parcel. It is an unknown, and at this point, she doesn't know what to be in opposition to. In addition, she stated that a lot of nice homes are being built in this area, and asked if these property owners have been informed of this application for rezoning?

There being no other persons appearing to speak for or against this application for rezoning, the public hearing was declared closed by the Chairman.

b. Consideration of Zoning Case #Z-04-04

On motion by C. T. Redd III, seconded by L. E. Byrum, Jr. and carried with the following roll call vote, the Board approved the application of Fontainebleau Farm, Inc., David S. Robinson, Jr. to Rezone 25.22 acres (Tax Map Parcel 28-69A) from an AC (Agriculture-Conservation) District to an M (Industrial) District with the revised Proffered Conditions as signed, dated, and submitted on March 22, 2005: These proffers follow:

1. Limit on Uses: Uses of the property shall be limited to those permitted by right in the M Industrial District (Article V of the Zoning Ordinance) with the following exceptions:

- Sand and gravel processing
- Tire rebuilding and recapping
- Firearms manufacturing
- Structural iron manufacturing
- Acetylene generation and storage
- Transport, storage and transfer of municipal waste
- Manufacture, compounding, processing, packaging, or treatment of asbestos products
- Ink manufacture from primary raw materials
- Poultry packing and slaughtering (wholesale)

2. Buffer Area: A buffer of 50 feet in width shall be maintained along the property's frontage on Route 613 (Dunluce Road). In addition, a buffer of 50 feet in width shall be maintained along the property's southernmost boundary. If any portion of the buffer is substantially cleared of trees or other vegetative growth and such portion no longer serves to adequately screen the property, as determined by the County Zoning Administrator, the property owner shall plan any such substantially cleared portion of the buffer with a double row of evergreen trees, not less than six feet in height, arranged in a staggered planting alignment. Landscaping in the buffer shall be maintained in a healthy condition; damaged and diseased material to be removed and replanted as needed.

3. Access: There shall be no direct access to the property from any portion of Route 613 (Dunluce Road) until the paving of Dunluce Road has been extended from the current end of the pavement to the planned point of entrance into the property. There shall not be more than two entrances into the property from Dunluce Road and such entrances shall be not less than 500 feet apart. Any entrance into the property shall be located so as to be at least 100 feet from the centerline of the current entrance into the property located on the eastern side of Dunluce Road identified as Tax Parcel 29-87.

4. Lighting: Lighting shall be shielded and directed so as to minimize glare and spillover on to adjoining properties. Lighting shall be reduced to no more than a security level following closed of daily operations.

5. Public Utilities: At such time as the property owner receives County approval to service the property with water and sewer service through the King William County public utility system, the extension of such water and sewer lines from the point of connection with the County water and sewer systems to the property shall be

completed at the expense of the property owner. King William County may, however, extend such utility lines on its own accord if it chooses to do so.

W. F. Adams	Aye
L. E. Byrum, Jr.	Aye
C. T. Redd III	Aye
T. G. Smiley	Aye
O. O. Williams	Nay

RE: UPDATE ON COUNTY PROJECTS AND ACTIVITIES – FRANK A.

PLEVA, COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

a. Regional Animal Shelter – County Administrator, Frank A. Pleva, reported that the regional animal shelter site plan has been received in the Planning Office and the project should be going out for bid sometime later this spring.

b. Mt. Olive Community Improvement Project - The County Administrator indicated that bids for the mass drain field were received and opened today and all are a bit on the high side. The County's Engineer will try to negotiate with the apparent low bidder to either work out something to reduce the bid, or perhaps the project will have to be rebid. More information will be provided to the Board at the April meeting.

Further, he reported that the construction plans are being drawn for the sewage treatment plant. The DEQ Permit has already been obtained for the discharge from the plant to serve the other part of the project area. Once these plans are reviewed and approved by DEQ, Charlie Reidlinger of Resource International, estimates a time period of June or July for the bids to go out.

c. County Museum – Mr. Pleva reported that he has received a draft of a proposed Lease Agreement between King William County and the King William County Historical Society, from the attorney representing the Historical Society. This draft Lease is based on a letter sent to the Historical Society by the County Administrator several months ago regarding the Will and Estate of the late Thomas T. H. Hill before a Circuit Court Hearing on the Will was held. Several items in the draft need to be addressed further, so Mr. Pleva indicated that he has been in contact with Carl Fischer, President of the Historical Society, and a meeting will be set in the very near future with the Society to work on an Agreement to propose to the Board of Supervisors. He advised that the Society is very anxious and ready to get started on this project.

RE: APPOINTMENTS

a. Board of Building & Code Appeals – Three Members, Five Year Terms, Term of Don Caskie (Engineer) Expired March 1, 2005; Term of W. Carnova Peterson (Architect) Expired March 1, 2005, and Term of Tom Hardyman (Surveyor) Expired March 1, 2005 - On motion by L. E. Byrum, Jr., seconded by C. T. Redd III and carried unanimously, the Board reappointed Ron Caskie (Engineer); W. Carnova Peterson (Architect); and Tom Hardyman (Surveyor) to serve as members of the Board of Building and Code Appeals for King William County, each for a term of five years. Said terms will expire March 1, 2010.

b. Middle Peninsula Disability Services Board – One Member, Two Year Term of Crystal G. Smith Expires March 31, 2005 - On motion by L. E. Byrum, Jr., seconded by C. T. Redd III and carried unanimously, the Board reappointed Crystal G. Smith to represent the County on the Middle Peninsula Disability Services Board for a term of two years, expiring March 31, 2007.

c. Middle Peninsula Planning District Commission – One Citizen Member, One Year Term, Term of Robert F. Brake Expires June 30, 2005 - On motion by L. E. Byrum, Jr., seconded by C. T. Redd III and carried unanimously, the Board reappointed Robert F. Brake as its citizen member on the Middle Peninsula Planning District Commission for a term of one year ending June 30, 2006.

RE: PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD – SPEAKERS: ONE OPPORTUNITY OF 3 MINUTES PER INDIVIDUAL OR 5 MINUTES PER GRUP ON NON-PUBLIC HEARING MATTERS

No persons appeared to speak.

RE: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' COMMENTS

a. L. E. Byrum, Jr. reported on an article appearing in the March, 2005 issue of the *Progressive Farmer*, an agricultural magazine, regarding the top 100 counties in the United States in which to live, based on schools, the crime rate, and other indicators about the quality of life, and King William County was number 19 in the southeast region and number 94 in the nation, out of the 100 counties in the entire nation recognized for its quality of life in rural living. Only six counties in the State of Virginia were recognized in this manner.

b. C. T. Redd III made a request that the Board of Supervisors revisit the Peddler's License Fee in the next meeting to be held on April 25, 2005.

c. C. T. Redd III also would like for the Board of Supervisors to request the County Administrator to provide information on current Emergency Medical Services (EMS) fees from counties that have private EMS carriers handling their rescue services, so that the Board may discuss this issue at its next meeting.

RE: ADJOURNMENT OF MEETING

There being no further business to come before this Board, on motion by L. E. Byrum, Jr., seconded by C. T. Redd III and carried unanimously, the meeting was adjourned.

COPY TESTE:

W. F. Adams
Chairman

Frank A. Pleva
County Administrator

