

**MINUTES**  
**KING WILLIAM COUNTY**  
**BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**  
**MEETING OF MARCH 28, 2011**

At the meeting of the Board of Supervisors of King William County, Virginia, held on the 28<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2011, beginning at 6:30 p.m. in the Conference Room of the County Administration Building, order was called with the following present:

C. L. Schools, Chairman  
D. L. Wright, Vice-Chairman  
T. G. Smiley  
C. T. Redd, III  
O. O. Williams

T. L. Funkhouser, County Administrator  
M. T. Soberick, Acting County Attorney

The Chairman, C. L. Schools, called the meeting to order and agenda changes were discussed.

The Board recessed and moved to the Board Room of the County Administration Building to continue the meeting.

**RE: INVOCATION**

The opening invocation was delivered by Dr. Dean Collings, Sr., Pastor of Freedom Fellowship Ministries.

**RE: APPROVAL OF MEETING AGENDA**

On motion by C. T. Redd III, seconded by D. L. Wright and carried unanimously, the Board adopted the agenda for this meeting as presented by the County Administrator, with the following changes: Under the Consent Agenda – Add Item 7e - Authorization for County Administrator to Advertise Budget Hearing set for April 18, 2011; Delete item 8 – VDOT Matters; Under Administrative Matters – Add Item 9a – Reassessment Update from the Commissioner of Revenue and the County Administrator; Add item 13 – Closed Meeting Section 2.2-3711A(7), for consultation with legal council on a specific legal matter and Section 2.2-3711A(1) to consider a personnel matter involving the employment and salary of a specific public employee.

**RE: PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD – SPEAKERS: ONE OPPORTUNITY OF 3  
MINUTES PER INDIVIDUAL OR 5 MINUTES PER GROUP ON NON-PUBLIC HEARING  
MATTERS**

Bob Ehrhart of 644 Edgar Road of the Fifth District, stated he had a follow up conversation with County Administrator, T. L. Funkhouser recently. At the October Board Meeting the Board resolved/approved the exploration of water withdrawal permit from the Pamunkey River and that money is not budgeted anywhere. He wants to caution the Board going forward on this. He stated that the estimated engineering cost is \$5,000 and maybe \$15,000 for a preliminary engineering report and \$12,000 for a permit application associated with the State. He is not sure if this is in the budget or not; the cost would probably be about \$32,000 to get a permit that may not be needed, just a word of caution before going too far.

Robert Kinning of Marl Hill Road of the Second District recommends that Rt. 631 Marl Hill Road be placed on this year's Six Year Secondary Road Plan.

Robert Sparks, President of the King William County Voluntary Fire Department and Rescue Squad discussed his concerns of the departure of Steve Puckett, Director of Emergency Services. Mr. Sparks also commented on the good work the All-Hazards Committee has performed and also his concerns of the suggestions, recommendations they have made that have not been acted upon.

Mr. Sparks further commented on the performance of his agency and that the King William Fire Department works tirelessly on an annual budget to meet the requirements set forth by the All-Hazards Committee however King William has received the same amount of money for the past eight years.

Continuing he stated that the radio system in this county has been in dire need of replacement for years and requested that public safety and fire and emergency services be made a priority.

Further, Mr. Sparks thanked Dr. Franco for his recent article in the *County Courier* and for his support.

Shawn Ellis, Chairman of the All-Hazards Planning Committee. Mr. Ellis stated he is very appreciative of the President's comments on the committee's progress. The committee has been meeting for about two and a half years and he feels that in the past two to three months they have started to make significant progress. Mr. Ellis

expressed that he felt that the Emergency Services Director position should be filled on an interim basis and not hire a full time person right away; he has concerns of having to start from scratch.

Herbert L. White, Jr. of Bea's Lane in the Second District. Mr. White stated he is dissatisfied with the progress the County has made to date, stating that surrounding counties are moving forward, and that King William does not have the people or the sufficient equipment in order to handle the job. He emphasized that the County has to do something to get some paid members on staff, the members that are in place do a good job but more help is needed.

Beth Dandridge, Coordinator for Arts Alive, thanked the Board for its past support and funding, and provided the Board with an update on programs, art showings and other services being provided by Arts Alive. Continuing she explained that Arts Alive is supported by the counties of King William, King & Queen, New Kent and the Town of West Point. Those funds and matching challenge grants that they receive account for 26% of this year's budget, so they do depend on the county's support. The goal of Arts Alive is to have art programming that is affordable to all citizens, stating that one program for this year was even free to the public. She explained that currently Arts Alive has 373 season subscribers and 208 of them are from King William County, which equals 56%.

Ms. Dandridge also explained that they offer adult and student workshops at a reduced rate. Arts Alive is offering the Herb Brown, Jr. Performing Arts Scholarship again this year for a graduating high school senior from King William County, King and Queen County, the Town of West Point or New Kent County to be awarded to a student planning to major in performing arts or music education. In addition, this year they are offering for the first time the Arts Alive Visual Arts Scholarship which will go to a student planning to major in Studio Arts, Art History or Art Education; both of these scholarships are for \$1,000 each.

Janie Edwards, of 21780 King William Road, spoke to the Board and stated that she was late mailing her tax relief form this year; she did not realize that an application was required to be filed every year; she requested that the Board reconsider her application.

**RE: CONSENT AGENDA**

On motion by C. T. Redd III, seconded by T. G. Smiley and carried unanimously, the Board approved the following items on its Consent Agenda:

- a. Minutes of the Regular Meeting of February 28, 2011, as presented
- b. Claims against the County for the month of March, 2011, in the amount of \$818,624.68 as follows:

(1) General Fund Warrants #74004-74103 in the amount of \$177,396.47; ACH Direct Payments for March, 2011, #884-972 in the amount of \$231,812.83; Direct Deposits #15093-15201 in the amount of \$190,705.28; and Electronic Tax Payment in the amount of \$58,386.72.

(2) For informational purposes, Social Services expenditures for the month of February, 2011, Warrants #308593-308617 in the amount of \$21,122.04; ACH Direct Payments #223-258 in the amount of \$31,219.51; Direct Deposits #2482-2500 in the amount of \$29,457.39; and Electronic Tax Payment in the amount of \$8,307.86.

(3) For informational purposes, Circuit Court expenditures for the month of February, 2011, Warrants #73985-73993 in the amount of \$5,370.41; Direct Deposits #403-405 in the amount of \$8,826.30; and Electronic Tax Payment in the amount of \$2,855.13.

(4) For informational purposes, Comprehensive Services Act Fund expenditures for the month of February, 2011, Warrants #73994-74003 in the amount of \$18,176.00; and ACH Direct Payments #884-895 in the amount of \$34,888.74.

(5) There were no Tax Refunds for the month of February, 2011.

c. Authorization to Negotiate and Execute a Contract with the Offeror Ranked First for Audit Services.

d. Approval of Issuance of Revenue Anticipation Note (RAN) for Calendar Year 2011. The Board adopted the Resolution Authorizing the Issuance of a Revenue Anticipation Note (RAN) in an amount not to exceed 1.8 million dollars.

e. Authorization for advertisement of a Public Meeting for the FY12 Budget

C. T. Redd, III	Aye
D. L. Wright	Aye
T. G. Smiley	Aye
O. O. Williams	Aye
C. L. Schools	Aye

**RE: Administrative Matters – T. L. Funkhouser, County Administrator**

a. **Reassessment Update from the County Administrator and the Commissioner of the Revenue:**

The County Administrator, T. L. Funkhouser, addressed the Board and asked Ms. Pearson, Commissioner of the Revenue, to give a brief update on reassessments. He stated that as soon as the order is received from the Judge on the Board of Equalization the hearings can be scheduled and then be publicized. Mr. Funkhouser added that at this time issues are trying to be resolved with Mr. Coalson.

The Commissioner of the Revenue addressed the Board and informed them that it has been brought to her attention that there are some inequities and inconsistencies in this reassessment. Ms. Pearson stated that she wrote a letter to the vendor, Tri County Appraisals, last week and it was reviewed by Mr. Funkhouser, pointing out these inconsistencies. She added that Mr. Coalson has acknowledged receipt of this letter but she is not aware of what he is going to do about them. Continuing she stated not all commercial properties are assessed for heat, air, bathrooms, wells, septic; and some residential properties are not assessed with bathrooms and this could result in losses in revenue to the County. There are also some residential properties not assessed for heat and air. A sales assessment survey, with the certain items that are outlined in the contract, has not been received.

Mr. Funkhouser added that the supporting data is needed to determine how Tri-County arrived at the calculation of the rates and Ms. Pearson agreed. The Commissioner noted these errors with the appraiser but is unable to change them and she stated she wanted the Board to be aware of this. The Commissioner states that she can only correct clerical errors in the Commissioner's office, *i.e.* misstatements of facts, but the Commissioner is unable to overwrite value judgments.

The Commissioner confirmed that she included a detailed list of the specific properties with the letter that was sent to Mr. Coalson. Ms. Pearson stated that the list included approximately 200 commercial properties. Mr. Schools asked her to clarify if there were 200 properties assessed or 200 inconsistencies, she confirmed 200 inconsistencies. The Commissioner further stated that the Food Lion in West Point is assessed with twelve half baths; the Food Lion shopping center in King William has

two half baths. Mr. Funkhouser added that the County has repeatedly asked for supporting data.

Mr. Schools addressed the Board to see if any other members had additional questions. Mr. Wright asked Ms. Pearson when the order that was issued by Judge Hoover expires. She replied that the extension that was issued to Tri-County Appraisals, by the Judge, expires on March 31, 2011. She has reviewed several recent sales and found some issues with them; the sales are not supposed to be adjusted to the property, you are supposed to adjust the model.

Mr. Redd asked the Commissioner if the appraiser has done the books and if she has accepted the book. She stated that the appraiser will sign the book and take it to the Clerk's office; the Commissioner's office does not accept the books. Mr. Redd expressed that he thinks that the County should notify the Clerk that we are not going to accept the book until they are completed. Further he suggested that Ms. Pearson and Mr. Funkhouser meet with Tri-County Appraisers and relay their concerns to him. Mr. Wright asked if Mr. Redd would like to put this into a form of a motion and if so he would second the motion, Mr. Redd replied in the affirmative.

On motion by C. T. Redd III, seconded by D. L. Wright and carried unanimously, the Board instructs the County Administrator and the Commissioner of Revenue to contact Mr. Coalson of Tri-County Appraisals and inform him, very emphatically, that the Board wants his finished and completed report; and completed correctly. The Board requests that the list of items that the Commissioner of Revenue has in hand be addressed parcel by parcel and completed no later than midnight March 31, 2011, when his extension expires. If not done correctly the Board will take any and all actions that can be taken legally.

C. L. Schools	Aye
C. T. Redd III	Aye
D. L. Wright	Aye
T. G. Smiley	Aye
O. O. Williams	Aye

Mr. Redd thanked Ms. Pearson for appearing before the Board and for bringing this to their attention.

RE: Planning Matters – Scott Lucchesi, Director, Community

Development Public Hearings:

- a. Public Hearing - Z-08-01 – Request to Amend Proffers – request to amend proffers approved with original zoning case Tax Map No: 22-46 and 22-46A;  
Owners/Applicants: James M. Richie and Peter M. Ford

Scott Lucchesi, Director of Community Development, presented case Z-08-01 on tax map parcels, 22-46 and 46A located in the Acquinton District on .305 acres. The property is located at the intersection of state route 600 and Richmond Tappahannock highway and is currently owned by James Richie and Peter Ford. The current zoning is B-2.

Mr. Lucchesi further stated that on January 7, 2011, the applicants submitted an application to amend the original proffers that were accepted in the 2001 zoning case. The initial proffers limited the use of the facility to commercial auto sales and the applicant would like the property to be available for the traditional B-2 uses contained in the zoning ordinance, unless proffered out by the applicant. The property is for sale and the revised proffers would allow the property to be marketed to a larger variety of clients.

The Future Land Use Map indicates COMMERCIAL for this parcel. The existing parcels to the North, South and East are B-1 with Agricultural to the East. The existing zoning is supported by the current land use plan and the proposed proffer changes and is supported by the adopted goals and polices which speak to the desire to attract new businesses.

The property is located within the TCO and those requirements will apply to any new building on the property and will ensure that any future site plan is designed to meet high-quality standards for commercial building and development. Any new use may trigger a VDOT review for the entrance pending use and counts.

The parcel is located outside of the water and sewer service areas and no changes are proposed. No issues are noted with schools, parks and recreation, public safety or utilities.

All development shall comply with the Local Zoning Ordinance, the Virginia Storm Water Management Plan and the King William County Erosion and Sediment Ordinance.

The Planning Commission voted to forward the case on to the Board of Supervisors with a recommendation of approval at the regularly scheduled meeting in February. A Public Hearing was conducted at that meeting with no opposition.

Mr. Lucchesi offered to take any questions about this case at this time.

Chairman, C. L. Schools asked a question about B-2 Zoning, Mr. Lucchesi mentioned traditional uses, is does that include retail business? Mr. Lucchesi answered in the affirmative and further stated that some businesses listed are appliance repair, auto equipment sales, auto body and paint, bakeries, car wash, exterminating establishment, farm supplies, florist shop, funeral home and the list goes on with those basic type B-2 retail uses.

Mr. Smiley asked for clarification about an item on the application. Mr. Lucchesi stated that the applicant is asking for all of the B-2 uses except for what they are proffering out, which are listed in that list of proffers.

There was some discussion among the Board members about a couple of houses behind the parcel. Mr. Smiley commented that if he were in one of these houses that he would not want a lot of loud noise that could come from a particular type of business. Mr. Lucchesi stated that one of the houses is actually located on the parcel.

Chairman Schools, asked if there were any other questions; there were none and he opened the public hearing for Zoning Z-08-01; anyone wishing to speak in favor or against were asked to come forward.

Don Wagner from the Mangohick District asked if all of these proffers are dropped and it is a B-2 Zoning and someone comes in asking for anything that is allowed under a B-2 Zoning is there any opportunity to place additional proffers on that property once that is done. He further commented that there are several concerns, such as the entrances on that property were built for a service station and are not what they should be today; particularly the entrance with the short distance off of Rt. 360 on Rt. 600.

Chairman Schools asked James D. Richie, of 325 Mallard Creek Lane in Aylett, to come forward for questions. Mr. Schools asked Mr. Richie if he is correct in saying that he is asking that the old proffers be deleted on this property and that the new proffers be inserted; Mr. Richie replied yes adding that originally the property was a service station and it was zoned B-2 back when they started zoning properties.

Mr. Funkhouser stated that he would like general clarification of what regulations would apply other than the standard B-2 use restrictions, in response to Mr. Wagner.

Mr. Lucchesi replied saying that right now the parcel contains the existing car dealership with the house. If they are sold to a new person with a new use both of these properties, both of those buildings, will go to the one owner and that is currently zoned a B-2 parcel. If someone wants to do something different with the property today they have to come up to today's standards so the residential house would not be allowed unless they have something that shows that was put into place back when it was zoned originally that it could stay a house, Mr. Lucchesi stated that he does not believe that information is in the file.

B-2 zoning is present on the parcel today; the applicant is just asking to change the proffers to allow more uses. The staff would like to see the parcel rehabbed or fixed up or redeveloped but with the proffers being so restrictive that are on there now it is just not a parcel that is selected to that many buyers. So the applicant is asking to change the proffers to allow more uses on the property to get a buyer to come in to redevelop.

Chairman Schools asked Mr. Williams if he had a question for Mr. Richie or Mr. Lucchesi. Mr. Williams stated that he has listened to the conversations and the details of this site and he understands that if someone comes up with something to do with this business and is it is sold to a new person then they will have to meet the transportation overlay regulations. Continuing he said that this site is going to be so small that it is going to be hard for the property owner to sell as a business site because of the set backs of the transportation overlay regulations. Further he adds that if they can come up with a business that can move into this building and not have to change anything it makes it a sellable business but if there are stipulations that if it

changes hands from him to another person they have to come up to the standards; that is what I gathered and I am worried about this. Mr. Lucchesi stated that the zoning ordinance triggers whether something complies with the TCO or not, the square footage, the site plan, not just the change in ownership.

Chairman Schools asked if there were any other questions from the Board or anyone else. There were no other questions and the Chairman closed the public hearing.

The Chairman asked the Board what their pleasure was on Public Hearing Z-08-01. C. T. Redd III made the motion that the Board approve the recommendation from the Planning Commission to eliminate the first proffer of the used car lot and make it B-2 with the exceptions that are listed; the motion was seconded by O. O. Williams and carried unanimously with the following vote:

O. O. Williams	Aye
C. L. Schools	Aye
C. T. Redd, III	Aye
D. L. Wright	Aye
T. G. Smiley	Aye

b. Public Hearing - TXT-01-2011 – Text Amendment – Amendment to Chapter 86 (Zoning Ordinance) of the King William County Code, Article XI – Site Plans, Section 86-499 – Approval and Extension – Zoning Administrator, Susan Blackburn, addressed the Board about two proposed text amendments. The first, TXT-01-2011 proposes to amend Section 86-499 which is approval and extension of site plans of Article XI of the Zoning Ordinance of King William County. This is to be consistent with the recent changes made to the Code of Virginia. Currently §15.2 - 2261 of the Code of Virginia states an approval for a site plan shall be valid for up to five years. Our Zoning Ordinance only allows the approval of a site plan to be valid for one year. The change in the State Code was to provide a more realistic time frame for construction of projects in these economic times.

This proposed amendment has been reviewed by the County Attorney and is being submitted for review and potential approval. Also, the Planning Commission, at their February 23<sup>rd</sup> meeting, voted to forward this case to the Board, with a recommendation of approval.

Chairman C. L. Schools asked if the Board had any further questions. Being none, the Chairman opened the Public Hearing for Text Amendment TXT-01-2011. No one appeared to speak and the Chairman closed the Public Hearing.

T. G. Smiley made a motion that the Board adopts Text Amendment – TXT-01-11; the motion was seconded by C. T. Redd, III and carried unanimously with the following vote:

T. G. Smiley	Aye
O. O. Williams	Aye
C. L. Schools	Aye
C. T. Redd, III	Aye
D. L. Wright	Aye

c. Public Hearing - TXT-02-11 – Text Amendment – Amendment to Chapter 86 (Zoning Ordinance) of the King William County Code, Article I – In General, Section 86-5 – Definitions and Article XII – Nonconforming Structures, Section 86-534 – Destruction of a Nonconforming Structure – Zoning Administrator Susan Blackburn addressed the Board with the second proposed text amendment. This would amend two sections of the King William County Code, section 86-5 Definitions and section 86-534 Destruction of a Nonconforming Structure.

Again, these amendments are necessary to comply with § 15.2-2307 of the Code of Virginia, which states if a nonconforming structure is damaged or destroyed to the extent of more than 50% of its fair market value by an Act of God, it may be repaired or replaced to its original nonconforming status within certain time frames. Currently, the King William Zoning Ordinance requires a special exception to repair or replace a nonconforming structure that has been damaged more than 50% of its fair market value regardless of how the damage occurred.

This proposed amendment has been reviewed by the County Attorney and is being submitted for review and potential approval

The Planning Commission voted on February 23<sup>rd</sup> to forward this case to the Board, with a recommendation of approval.

Chairman Schools asked if there were any other questions. Mr. Williams asked if a damaged structure is not to code when damaged can the structure be repaired to bring it up to code, Ms. Blackburn replied in the affirmative.

With no other questions the Chairman opened the Public Hearing and asked if anyone wished to speak.

Bob Ehrhart from the Fifth District asked if the Code of Virginia specifies Act of God, rather than Act of Nature instead of Act of God. Ms. Blackburn stated that the Code of Virginia actually states Act of God and it was our County Attorney, Mr. Stuck, that said that the terms could be interchanged and that he had reviewed that and that was still the legal status. Mr. Ehrhart further stated that on the principal of his faith he doesn't believe that God and Nature are the same.

The Chairman asked if anyone else wished to speak, no one came forward and the Chairman closed the hearing.

C. T. Redd III made a motion that the Board adopts Text Amendment – TXT-02-11; the motion was seconded by T. G. Smiley and carried unanimously with the following vote:

D. L. Wright	Aye
T. G. Smiley	Aye
O. O. Williams	Nay
C. L. Schools	Aye
C. T. Redd III	Aye

**RE: PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD – SPEAKERS: ONE OPPORTUNITY OF 3 MINUTES PER INDIVIDUAL OR 5 MINUTES PER GROUP ON NON-PUBLIC HEARING MATTERS**

Don Wagner from the Mangohick District stated that he is not a member of the Fire Department or Rescue Squad and not affiliated in any way with either one of these organizations. Mr. Wagner commented that Robert Sparks spoke very eloquently about what he believes to be fire and rescue needs. He stated that he is not aware of what has happened with the All-Hazards Committee study. He suggested that the Board inform the public about the results of this study. He is aware that the county needs equipment and personnel.

Dean Collings of the fourth district stated that he was present at the budget work session meeting on Friday, March 25<sup>th</sup> and the revenue raised from the meals tax was discussed. He added that the referendum question allowed meals tax revenue to be used to pay debt service. He stated that he thinks that a portion of the money needs to be allocated to fire and rescue.

No others appeared to speak, the Chairman closed the second public comments period.

**RE: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' COMMENTS**

All Board members thanked the citizens present for their participation at the Board meetings.

Mr. Smiley reminded everyone about the budget public hearing on April 18<sup>th</sup>.

Mr. Redd encouraged everyone to review the proposed budget and commented that he feels that the Board has come up with a good budget which does not include a tax increase.

Mr. Williams encouraged the public to come to the meetings to voice their opinion to help the Board in making more informed decisions on important issues. He commended Mr. Sparks on his comments but is very disturbed that calls are being missed.

Mr. Wright made additional comments about fire and rescue and the good work that the volunteers do. Further he stated that the Board needs the public to help them succeed at their job. He encouraged the public to attend his scheduled Town Hall Meeting on April 12<sup>th</sup>.

Chairman Schools thanked the All-Hazards Committee. He commented that if recommendations have been made that have not been followed immediately it does not mean that the Board has not heard them; they have been heard and are appreciated. He added that EMS services are something that is near and dear to his heart and the Board appreciates all of the support that is received from the citizens and volunteers.

**RE: CLOSED MEETING**

C. T. Redd III made a motion to go into closed session pursuant to §2.2-3711A(7), to consult with legal counsel on a specific legal matter requiring the provision of legal advice by counsel and Section 2.2-3711A(1) involving a personnel employment and salary matter. D. L. Wright seconded the motion and the board voted as follows: T. G. Smiley – Aye, C. L. Schools – Aye, O. O. Williams – Aye, C. T. Redd III – Aye, D. L. Wright – Aye, the Board entered Closed Meeting pursuant to Section 2.2-3711A(7), Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended, for the Consultation with the

County Attorney on a Specific Legal Matter Requiring the Provision of Legal Advice by Counsel and Section 2.2-3711A(1) to discuss a personnel matter involving the employment and salary of a specific public employee.

**RE: RECONVENED OPEN SESSION**

Having completed the Closed Meeting, the Chairman reconvened in open meeting, on motion by C. L. Schools, seconded by O. O. Williams and carried with a unanimous roll call vote.

In accordance with Section 2.2-3712D of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended, C. T. Redd III moved that the King William County Board of Supervisors adopt the following Resolution certifying that this Closed Meeting's procedures comply with the requirements of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act. This motion was seconded by O. O. Williams and carried with a unanimous roll call vote.

**RESOLUTION – CLOSED MEETING**

At a regular meeting of the King William County Board of Supervisors held in the King William County Administration Building, on the 28<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2011:

---

<u>Present</u>	<u>Vote</u>
C. L. Schools, Chairman	Aye
D. L. Wright, Vice Chairman	Aye
C. T. Redd, III	Aye
T. G. Smiley	Aye
O. O. Williams	Aye

---

On motion of C. T. Redd, III, seconded by T. G. Smiley which carried unanimously, the following resolution was adopted:

**A RESOLUTION TO CERTIFY COMPLIANCE WITH THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT REGARDING MEETING IN CLOSED MEETING**

WHEREAS, the King William County Board of Supervisors has convened a closed meeting on this date pursuant to an affirmative recorded vote and in accordance with the provisions of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act; and

WHEREAS, Section 2.2-3711 of the Code of Virginia requires a certification by the King William County Board of Supervisors that such closed meeting was conducted in conformity with Virginia law;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the King William County Board of Supervisors this the 28<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2011, hereby certifies that, to the best of each member's knowledge, (1) only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements by Virginia law were discussed in the closed meeting to which this certification resolution applies, and (2) only such public business matters as were

identified in the motion convening the executive meeting were heard, discussed, or considered by the King William County Board of Supervisors.

**RE: ALL-HAZARDS EMERGENCY PLANNING COMMITTEE**

On motion of D. L. Wright, seconded by T. G. Smiley; moved that the Board of Supervisors direct the county administrator to extend the Board's sincere thanks and appreciation to the All-Hazards Emergency Planning Committee for its work over the past three years and to request that the Committee complete its survey of the Fire and EMS service in the form of a final report for the use by the County. The Board would like a final report by June 30, 2011. Motion was carried with the following roll call vote:

C. T. Redd, III	Aye
D. L. Wright	Aye
T. G. Smiley	Aye
C. L. Schools	Aye
O. O. Williams	Nay

**RE: CONGRATULATIONS TO UNIVERSITY OF RICHMOND AND VIRGINIA**

**COMMONWEALTH UNIVERSITY**

On motion by C. T. Redd, III and seconded by D. L. Wright; moved that the Board would like to congratulate the University of Richmond on there Sweet Sixteen performance and the Virginia Commonwealth University for making the Final Four. Motion was carried with a unanimous vote.

**RE: ADJOURNMENT OF MEETING**

There being no further business to come before this Board, the meeting was adjourned on motion by C. T. Redd III, seconded by T. G. Smiley and carried unanimously.

COPY TESTE:

---

C. L. Schools, Chairman  
Board of Supervisors

---

T. L. Funkhouser,  
County Administrator  
Clerk to the Board