

MINUTES
KING WILLIAM COUNTY
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
MEETING OF APRIL 25, 2011

At a regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of King William County, Virginia, held on the 25th day of April, 2011, beginning at 6:30 p.m. in the Conference Room of the County Administration Building, order was called with the following present:

C. L. Schools, Chairman
D. L. Wright, Vice-Chairman
T. G. Smiley
C. T. Redd, III
O. O. Williams (arrived at 6:55 p.m.)

T. L. Funkhouser, County Administrator
M. T. Soberick, Acting County Attorney

RE: REVIEW OF MEETING AGENDA

Chairman, C. L. Schools, called the meeting to order and agenda changes were discussed. Under Consent Agenda item 7c "Authorization for Public Hearing to rezone approximately 560 acres from Agricultural-Conservation (A-C) to Suburban Residential (R-1); Tax Map No. 27-16 & 27-18; Owner/Applicant: Woodland Investment Properties" the Public Hearing date is set for June 27, 2011, at the regular scheduled Board of Supervisors Meeting; and under item 7d "Authorization for Public Hearing of 2011 Tax Levies" the Public Hearing date is set for May 23, 2011, at the regular scheduled Board of Supervisors Meeting. Under Administrative Matters, item 10b, "FY 11-12 – Personnel – Policies and Positions", the County Administrator's recommendation and the need for formal Board action was discussed by the Board. The Board, by consensus, agreed that the recommendation of the County Administrator was consistent with discussions during the budget preparation process, that the proposed personnel changes were within the authority of the County Administrator; and that no Board action was required for the implementation of the changes. Item 10b was therefore removed from the agenda. A new item was added to Administrative Matters "King William Post Office discussion" and now becomes item 10b.

The Board recessed and moved to the Board Room of the County Administration Building to continue the meeting.

RE: INVOCATION

The opening invocation was delivered by Rev. Leo Wagner, Pastor of Mt. Nebo Baptist Church.

RE: APPROVAL OF MEETING AGENDA

On motion by C. T. Redd III, seconded by D. L. Wright and carried unanimously, the Board adopted the agenda for this meeting as presented by the County Administrator, with the following changes: Under Consent Agenda item 7c "Authorization for Public Hearing to rezone approximately 560 acres from Agricultural-Conservation (A-A) to Suburban Residential (R-1); Tax Map No. 27-16 & 27-18; Owner/Applicant: Woodland Investment Properties" the Public Hearing date is set for June 27, 2011, at the regular scheduled Board of Supervisors Meeting; and under item 7d "Authorization for Public Hearing of 2011 Tax Levies" the Public Hearing date is set for May 23, 2011, at the regular scheduled Board of Supervisors Meeting. Under Administrative Matters item 10b "FY 11-12 – Personnel – Policies and Positions" was endorsed by the Board and item removed from the agenda; a new item was added to Administrative Matters "King William Post Office discussion" and now becomes item 10b.

RE: PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD – SPEAKERS: ONE OPPORTUNITY OF 3 MINUTES PER INDIVIDUAL OR 5 MINUTES PER GROUP ON NON-PUBLIC HEARING MATTERS

a. Herbert L. White, Jr. of Bea's Lane in the Acquinton District complimented Sheriff Walton and his staff for the help that they provided to the citizens in Middlesex County after the disaster from the tornado that touched down in that community.

b. Don Wagner of the Mangohick District asked Mr. Redd to clarify the changes that were made to the agenda. Mr. Redd responded item 7c, under the Consent Agenda, the date has been set for June 27, 2011, for the Public Hearing for the rezoning case; and under Administrative Matters item 10b is now King William Post Office discussion.

Mr. Wagner continued saying that he has a concern with the public hearing set for June 27, 2011, for the Mt. Columbia project and asked the Board to table this item;

he feels that there are two major issues with this project. The first issue being the water supply to this subdivision and if there is a sufficient amount available now and for the future. Mr. Wagner questioned the ownership of the section of water line from the car wash to the Marl Hill subdivision. The second issue is the transportation plan that was approved by VDOT; a consultant performed a transportation plan in 2009 for the developer, the plan was updated in April 2010 but the update did not include the 260 lots in the subdivision specifically, this update added 50 lots to the traffic plan. Mr. Wagner asked the Board to take this into consideration before making a decision on this case.

c. Stephen Palmer, Commonwealth Attorney for King William County and resides in West Point. Mr. Palmer complimented the Board on their choice of Mr. Funkhouser for the County Administrator position. He also added that he would be around after the meeting adjourns to answer any questions that the Board might have regarding the Commonwealth Attorney's office.

d. Peter Glubiak, Attorney for the Mt. Columbia project, asked the Board not to table the public hearing set for June 27, 2011, for this project. Further, he added that he felt that Mr. Wagner's comments were out of order and his comments are hear say. Continuing he stated that he feels that all the issues with this project have been answered in satisfactory format such that the Planning Commission made a recommendation to forward to the Board for a public hearing to be set. He added that this is a significant project and the better part of three years has been spent preparing for this public hearing.

e. Bob Ehrhart 644 Edgar Road of the Fifth District, in response to his comments at the last Board of Supervisors meeting and written correspondence with the County Administrator; page eleven of the minutes public hearing TXT-02-11 – Non Conforming Structures, this was adopted such that the King William County Code would be consistent with the Code of Virginia. The Code of Virginia does not reference Act of Nature it only specifies Act of God; the minutes don't reflect anywhere that King William County added Act of Nature. It is his belief that Act of Nature and Act of God are not one in the same.

RE: CONSENT AGENDA

On motion by C. T. Redd III, seconded by D. L. Wright and carried unanimously. The Board approved the following items on its Consent Agenda:

a. Minutes of the Reconvened Joint Budget Work Session of the Board of Supervisors and the King William County School Board of March 22, 2011, the minutes of the Reconvened Budget Work Session Meeting of March 25, 2011, and the minutes of the Regular Meeting of March 28, 2011, as presented

b. Claims against the County for the month of April, 2011, in the amount of \$1,030,832.97 as follows:

(1) General Fund Warrants #74124-74243 in the amount of \$430,095.61; ACH Direct Payments for April, 2011, #977-1059 in the amount of \$208,990.52; Direct Deposits #15202-15315 in the amount of \$191,925.67; and Electronic Tax Payment in the amount of \$58,830.01.

(2) For informational purposes, Social Services expenditures for the month of March, 2011, Warrants #308618-308650 in the amount of \$25,779.45; ACH Direct Payments #259-285 in the amount of \$31,137.46; Direct Deposits #2501-2523 in the amount of \$29,457.39; and Electronic Tax Payment in the amount of \$8,307.86.

(3) For informational purposes, Circuit Court expenditures for the month of March, 2011, Warrants #74104-74112 in the amount of \$5,370.41; Direct Deposits #406-407 in the amount of \$8,826.30; and Electronic Tax Payment in the amount of \$2,855.13.

(4) For informational purposes, Comprehensive Services Act Fund expenditures for the month of March, 2011, Warrants #74114-74122 in the amount of \$17,057.00; and ACH Direct Payments #973-975 in the amount of \$12,170.16.

(5) Tax Refunds for the month of March, 2011, in the amount of \$30.00.

c. Authorization for Public Hearing is set for June 27, 2011, during the Board of Supervisors regular scheduled monthly meeting, to rezone approximately 560 acres from Agricultural-Conservation (A-C) to Suburban Residential (R-1); Tax Map No. 27-16 and 27-18; Owner/Applicant: Woodland Investment Properties. Mr. Redd requested that under this item the County Administrator and/or the Planning Director request from the applicant a definite plan that outlines the water alternatives for this project and asked that they present the findings to the Board before the public hearing set for June 27, 2011.

d. Authorization for Public Hearing of 2011 Tax Levies; Public Hearing is set for May 23, 2011, during the regular scheduled Board of Supervisors meeting.

Those Members Voting:

O. O. Williams	Aye
C. L. Schools	Aye
C. T. Redd III	Aye
D. L. Wright	Aye
T. G. Smiley	Aye

RE: ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION – LILLIAN W. JONES FOR VOLUNTEER SERVICES

On motion by D. L. Wright, seconded by T. G. Smiley and carried unanimously, the Board adopted and presented the following resolution of appreciation to Lillian W. Jones, for her service with the Mattaponi Volunteer Rescue Squad:

RESOLUTION OF APPRECIATION

WHEREAS, Lillian Walker Jones was born in Stevensville, Virginia to Robert and Lucy Jones, and moved to Walkerton, Virginia at six months of age; and

WHEREAS, Ms. Jones is a lifelong resident of her family's farm, where she still raises chickens, hens and peacocks; and

WHEREAS, Ms. Jones graduated from Marriott High School in King & Queen County and attended Smithdeal Massey Business School in Richmond; and

WHEREAS, Ms. Jones worked for the Commonwealth of Virginia for thirty years, at the Division of Motion Pictures, the State Controller's Office and the State Corporation Commission, from which she retired; and

WHEREAS, Ms. Jones took her first Emergency Medical Technician (EMT) class in 1961; and

WHEREAS, Ms. Jones served with the King William Rescue Squad from 1991 to 1995; and

WHEREAS, Ms. Jones has served the Mattaponi Rescue Squad since 1995; and

WHEREAS, Ms. Jones shares her home with four well-loved cats: Juliet, Katrina, Zachery and LizzieAnn;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the King William County Board of Supervisors wishes to express its gratitude to Ms. Jones for her many years of service to the community; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the King William County Board of Supervisors expresses its deep appreciation to Ms. Jones for her many sacrifices made on behalf of the citizens of the county; and

BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED, that a copy of this resolution expressing the sense of this Board of Supervisors on this matter shall be spread upon the meeting minutes of said Board of Supervisors.

Upon its adoption, this Resolution of Appreciation was presented to Ms. Jones by Board members D. L. Wright and C. L. Schools; she thanked the Board for the opportunity to serve King William County. She also thanked her fellow co-workers and stated that it has been an honor and a pleasure to have worked with them.

RE: PUBLIC HEARING - REDISTRICTING

a. Jeanette Wagner of the Mangohick district asked the Board to reconsider the redistricting for one particular area in the Mangohick and Aylett districts where the Cherry Hill subdivision and Beadles Road lay. She stated that in the 2000 census, part of the block map ran down Cherry Hill Road and the magisterial district ran down Beadles Road, but in the 2010 census block 3019 has been created. She continued indicating a prospective candidate that could run in this district will now be in the Mangohick district and his father who lives next door will be in the Aylett district. She asked that the Board reconsider and let this particular block go back to the 2000 census.

There being no other persons appearing the Chairman asked the Board if they had any questions.

Referring to Ms. Wagner's comment, Mr. Smiley asked if this block were to be moved back to the 2000 census how many people would this put in another district. Mr. Funkhouser stated that there is strict criteria that has to be met and there may or may not be any flexibility. Further he added that Mr. Lucchesi and redistricting committee members that worked on the redistricting were in the audience if the Board had questions.

Mr. Williams asked for an explanation and added that he has not seen the map that Ms. Wagner was referring to. The County Administrator stated that the map has been available in the Registrar's office for almost two months, which shows all the census blocks and where the individual districts are. Continuing he said that he is aware that there has been some concern of splitting Cherry Hill; he added that he is not an expert on the specifics of the plans and suggested that Mr. Lucchesi or Mr. Campbell could address the question.

Eugene Campbell, of the second district, Chairman of the Redistricting Committee, spoke and recognized some of the other committee members in the

audience – Rebecca Townsend, Bob Coats, Eugene Rivara, Laverne Abrams, John Gresham, Charlie Barbour and Jay Langston. Mr. Campbell gave a statistical review of the proposed changes that the committee recommends. The voting precincts were also looked at and the committee recommends, for cost savings, that the current two voting precincts for the second district, the Courthouse and Sweet Hall precincts, be consolidated into one. Mr. Funkhouser stated that he has explained to the Board polling place options, has spoken with the Registrar's office, and recommends waiting until the County gets more definitive information on Federal and State redistricting.

Chairman Schools complimented the committee on the excellent job performed. He also pointed out that from the start of the committee it was stated that the committee would not consider any political candidate, potential candidate or officer or whomever the redistricting would approach. Mr. Campbell added that the committee followed the Federal and State guidelines.

Mr. Williams stated that he did not realize this had turned into a controversial issue. He complimented the committee on their job at arriving at the even numbers for the districts. Continuing he said that he didn't understand how you can cut between two lots but the committee has all of those figures. Mr. Campbell added that they followed the census blocks, a road or a street.

Mr. Wright also complimented the committee and said he appreciated the work the committee has done.

Mr. Redd applauded the committee on their excellent work.

Mr. Campbell thanked the County Staff and the Committee Members for all of the hard work they performed on this project. He also thanked the Board for the opportunity to serve on this committee and the community.

There being no others to appear before the Board the Chairman closed the public hearing.

RE: ADOPTION OF PROPOSED ELECTION DISTRICTS PLAN, MAP & ORDINANCE

On motion by D. L. Wright, seconded by T. G. Smiley and carried unanimously, the Board adopted the Election Districts Plan, Map and Ordinance, as presented in public hearing, to provide for the apportionment of members of the King William

County Board of Supervisors, to alter boundary lines of the Election Districts, and to designate each Election District and Precinct. These materials are now to be submitted to the Justice Department for approval.

Those members voting:

D. L. Wright	Aye
T. G. Smiley	Aye
O.O. Williams	Aye
C. L. Schools	Aye
C. T. Redd III	Aye

RE: ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS – T. L. FUNKHOUSER, COUNTY

ADMINISTRATOR

a. Adoption - Resolution of FY12 Budget

The County Administrator stated that there is a copy of the budget adoption resolution in the Board of Supervisors packet for adoption. Setting tax levies, personal property tax relief and approving an appropriation resolution will follow in May or June.

On motion by C. T. Redd III, seconded by T. G. Smiley, the Board adopted the following Budget resolution:

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, the King William County Board of Supervisors has reviewed the General Funds, School Fund and Special Revenue Funds for the Fiscal Year 2012 Budget; and,

WHEREAS, the Board held a duly advertised public hearing on the Budget on April 18, 2011,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the King William County Board of Supervisors approves the FY12 General Funds Budget in the amount of \$21,318,760, which includes a transfer of \$10,340,780 (including carried-over funds) to the School Fund, approves the School Fund budget in the amount of \$23,521,310, and the Special Revenue Funds in the amount of \$2,729,790.

Adopted this 25th day of April, 2011

Those members voting:

C. T. Redd III	<u>Aye</u>
D. L. Wright	<u>Aye</u>
T. G. Smiley	<u>Aye</u>
O. O. Williams	<u>Nay</u>
C. L. Schools	<u>Aye</u>

COPY TESTE:

Cecil L. Schools, Chairman
Board of Supervisors

b. King William Post Office Discussion

Mr. Funkhouser stated that the Board has a copy of a letter to Mr. Jacob Cheeks, District Manager of the United States Post Office, in their packet. This letter was sent to Mr. Cheeks expressing the County's concerns of the changes that are to take place at the King William Post Office branch. Mr. Wright brought this to the County Administrator's attention. Further, he stated that he received a reply letter from Mr. Cheeks today and a copy did not make it into the Board packet, as it was received after packet was published.

The letter to Mr. Cheeks stated the County is concerned with any changes to this branch but particularly any possible closure and also stated the concern of the viability of this branch because of the change starting April 27, 2011, after which the carriers will be based at the Walkerton branch. The letter also explained that the changes that are occurring at the King William branch is referred to as delivery unit optimization, there is no public participation when the Postal Service is going through this process, this is considered a business decision. Mr. Funkhouser stated that he would pursue further to see if he can find out how those business decisions are made, but this will have to be done through a separate information request.

Continuing, Mr. Funkhouser said the next item of concern is when a post office branch is considered for closure the Postal Service is required to provide service alternatives, customers in the service areas are given questionnaires, feedback is solicited and a community meeting is held; there is a sixty day comment period and thereafter you can appeal to the Postal Regulatory Commission. A copy of this letter will be provided to the press and anyone else that is interested. At the present time there is no danger of the King William branch closing.

RE: MOTION TO MAKE PAYMENT TO TRI-COUNTY APPRAISALS

A motion was made by D. L. Wright to make payment to Tri-County Appraisals for the reassessment performed. Mr. Wright commented that he felt that many of the issues have been addressed. Further, he stated that he spoke to the Commissioner of the Revenue, Sally Pearson, recently and she indicated that the few items left she felt could be passed on to the Board of Equalization. Since the contractor has completed their work Mr. Wright motions that the Board authorizes payment.

Mr. Smiley asked that Ms. Pearson confirm this to the Board and the Chairman asked her to come forward.

The Commissioner of Revenue addressed the Board and stated that she feels that the contract with Tri-County is basically complete. Her office has received a final summary from the contractor. Continuing she said that she has asked the Department of Taxation, Property Tax Manager, to review the sales tax survey. She added that the Commissioners office needs to get a real estate tax book out and that needs to be done in the next couple of weeks.

Mr. Wright asked Ms. Pearson to confirm that in the conversation that he had with her the minor items that need adjusting can be taken care of by the Board of Equalization; Ms. Pearson answered that it is up to the Board. Mr. Funkhouser addressed the Board to clarify that the County is generally satisfied with the contract, there are some issues that are within the Commissioners authority to adjust but this will impose on the staff of her office. He stated the only outstanding item he is concerned with is making sure the County has a plan with the Commissioner's office so she is not unfairly criticized for the additional work that needs to be accomplished, corrected notices will need to be sent out and this will take some staff time to accomplish; he is confident that this can be worked out between Tri-County and the Commissioner's office. Further, he added that the Board could authorize payment pending development of a specific plan for addressing the errors brought to our attention.

Mr. Schools asked if the Board adopts the budget does that mean the reassessment is adopted. Acting County Attorney, M. T. Sobérick, answered that the Board has to vote to accept the reassessment separately from adopting the budget.

Mr. Redd addressed the Chairman and stated that with as many issues that have been brought up about this reassessment, why would the Board approve payment and acceptance on a condition that something else has to be done. He suggested that the Board approve payment to the contractor and then accept the reassessment after corrections have been made.

Mr. Wright agreed with Mr. Redd and stated that he feels that there are some internal areas that need to be addressed that don't fall under Tri-County. He

continued saying that Tri-County has met the contract; their book has been run and closed. Further, he added that the Commissioner has to get the book run in her office in order to get the tax bills out in June.

Mr. Redd asked the County Administrator for assurance that the Board will not hear about these issues from the Commissioner's office in the future that something has to be done by Tri-County. He also asked him to clarify that the contract with Tri-County is basically done. The County Administrator stated that statutorily the assessor can not change anything at this time and for any errors the County would discover, for whatever reason, a corrected notice would need to be produced and would have to come from the Commissioner's office. Mr. Redd asked if this would be handled by County staff and if the County would absorb the cost; Mr. Funkhouser answered in the affirmative.

The Chairman asked the Commissioner how many issues still need to be resolved. Ms. Pearson commented that adjustments were made to some properties, she has reviewed some of these adjustments and they were depreciated to the same value that they were before; this could be value lost forever to the County, and it could be significant. Mr. Schools asked her to clarify significant, what amount of assessed value is she speaking of. She stated that given the number of properties that this effects, the potential loss of tax revenue to the County could be anywhere from one hundred to two hundred thousand dollars. Mr. Schools asked the Commissioner to confirm that she is speaking of two hundred thousand dollars in a twenty four million dollar assessment. The Commissioner answered in the affirmative and further stated that over a period of time, of a three year assessment, this is value that will forever be lost because the properties were adjusted down to the original value; for the ones that she has reviewed there was no value increase. Mr. Schools asked her how many properties she is referring to; she added that this affects approximately two hundred commercial buildings. The Chairman asked if these properties are all buildings or if commercial land is included in this number; the Commissioner stated that they are all buildings.

Mr. Redd asked for clarification if the Board of Equalization could raise the value; Ms. Pearson answered that they can. He asked Ms. Pearson to clarify of the

two hundred thousand, that she is referring to, if this is value or is this lost tax revenue; she answered tax revenue.

Mr. Redd addressed the Board and asked if the Board is willing to approve payment when the County potentially could lose two hundred thousand, possibly per year, in potential tax revenue. Mr. Redd called for the question to the Chairman.

Mr. Schools asked Mr. Williams if he had any comments, he answered no.

The Chairman announced a question had been called and he called for a vote on the following motion:

On motion by D. L. Wright, seconded by T. G. Smiley, the Board voted to accept the assessment and make payment to Tri-County Appraisals, without any conditions.

Roll call vote:

C. L. Schools	Aye
C. T. Redd III	Nay
D. L. Wright	Aye
T. G. Smiley	Aye
O. O. Williams	Nay

RE: PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD – SPEAKERS: ONE OPPORTUNITY OF 3 MINUTES PER INDIVIDUAL OR 5 MINUTES PER GROUP ON NON-PUBLIC HEARING MATTERS

a. Don Wagner of the Mangohick District commended the Board for having the open discussion on the reassessment matter. He also spoke about the comment that Mr. Glubiak made on his information on the Mt. Columbia public hearing being hear say, he stated that his information he spoke about earlier is factual, not hear say.

b. John Breeden of 325 Walnut Lane commented that he would have liked to have seen the Board hold at least a portion of the payment to Tri-County Appraisal. Mr. Breeden noted typically a portion of money is held back until contract issues are resolved, and giving full payment takes away any leverage that the County might have. He thanked the Board members for speaking out about the concerns. Further, he added that from what he has read in the newspaper, and with his own assessment, the assessor did a lousy job; giving them full payment possibly could have been a mistake.

c. Bob Ehrhart of 644 Edgar Road of the Fifth District, echoed John Breeden's comments, he doesn't think that the Board voted appropriately and feels

that they should have withheld money for the reassessment. Mr. Ehrhart expressed concern about a hundred or two hundred thousand dollars, in potentially lost tax revenue a year over a three year period.

Further, he commented that the entrance has not yet been paved at Parks & Recreation; he stressed that this needs to be paved. He spoke to Judiann Shaver today and suggested that maybe a traffic counter could be obtained to get a count on the traffic in and out of the complex. Something needs to be done as there is not much pavement left.

d. William Coalson of Tri-County Appraisals stated that he received the list of the two hundred commercial properties that Ms. Pearson is referring to as being incorrect. He said one hundred and fifty of them consisted of paved parking lots, fences, sheds and vacant buildings; further he added that there is not one hundred fifty to two hundred thousand dollars of tax revenue lost and not two hundred errors on commercial properties. He stated he has reviewed the errors and does not see the quoted number of errors. Continuing, Mr. Coalson stated that they have addressed the issues and discussed the supposedly public out cry that has been reported and stated that he has not seen this, only from Fred Kraus and Sally Pearson. He stated that they have only had two reassessment hearings, he has not talked to hundreds of people, and he hasn't seen the out cry or the errors. He acknowledged that there are errors and that there are errors in every reassessment.

e. Darrell Kellum of Tri-County Appraisals, and a King William resident, addressed the Board and stated that he is dissatisfied with the comments that have been published about Tri-County Appraisals and this reassessment. He spoke of several Tea Party meetings that he has attended and the good feedback that was received of the work that Tri-County has performed from the attendees at these meetings. Further, he commented that Tri-County was supposed to receive an up to date file to work from for the reassessment. In 2009 when they started the assessments they were supposed to get a 2008 working file; they were provided a 2004 working file from the Bright System from Ms. Pearson's office. Tri-County worked the assessments for two months before discovering that the file they were working from was for 2004; this work was performed at their cost and no cost to the

Commissioner's office. He also stated that this will be the last assessment that Tri-County Appraisals will be performing for King William County. Continuing he said that he feels the Board should know what the underlying issues are and he wants the general public to understand what they are.

Mr. Schools asked for clarification that Tri-County has addressed all of the two hundred errors. Mr. Coalson answered that the errors that were supplied, he has reviewed but he does not feel that the value would change and he does not see the over abundance of errors that are being reported.

Mr. Kellum added that he would like to point out to the Board and the citizens that between assessments, new constructions and new CO's are picked up by the Commissioner of Revenue's office. If a new business is picked up between reassessments they basically value it off of the information that is on the card; particularly the interior information because they are not allowed to go into the interior. If a bathroom was missed between reassessments, that was not picked up by Tri-County. The Chairman called time.

f. Sally Pearson, Commissioner of The Revenue, responded that she did not provide the referenced file to Tri-County Appraisals. The file was never requested from her and she was not aware that they had started the reassessment; and she thought that she had made Mr. Coalson aware of this during the several meetings where this was discussed. She added that if Mr. Coalson had asked her she would have provided him with the correct file, and apologized that he wasted his time with the incorrect file.

Mr. Schools asked Ms. Pearson to clarify that she has reviewed this with Mr. Funkhouser; she replied in the affirmative. The Chairman added that he will meet personally with Mr. Funkhouser if this is acceptable with the Board; or he and Mr. Redd can meet with him. The Commissioner added that she does not appreciate the false statements that are being made and she wanted to clear the air.

RE: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' COMMENTS

All Board members thanked the citizens present for their participation at the Board meetings.

Mr. Williams thanked everyone for coming to the meeting and commented that it seems that there has been some heated discussion during this meeting. Continuing he said that hopefully everyone understands that the Board has the best interest of the citizens at hand, and that we all need to work together.

Mr. Wright thanked everyone for coming out and stated that he didn't think he was throwing the citizens money away; he felt he had a legitimate reason for putting the motion on the floor. Further, he added that he is concerned of any possible law suit that could be brought against the County; a law suit would cost more than any errors that are in this assessment. The books have been closed and there are enough errors to go around and blame everyone.

Mr. Redd also thanked everyone for coming to the meeting and said that he wasn't aware that the County was getting ready to be sued and added that nevertheless his vote would be the same.

Mr. Smiley made a comment on the 180 homes that the water is not being supplied to any longer; that water is still allocated to those homes so that water can not be used again, the water can only be used one time. Regarding who owns the waterline, he and the Chairman have been fighting this for the last six to eight months, the Courts have fought this issue and to his knowledge it is unsure who owns it. The County has tried to arrive at a deal with the installer but have been unable to complete and it is at a stand still.

Chairman Schools thanked the citizens for coming out, for their individual interest and for voicing their opinion. As far as he knows, no legal suits or action is being taken by the County or by Tri-County. In his opinion, he does not feel that the Board has given up revenue, especially in that amount. He stated that the County hires professionals who have knowledge in their field and we depend on them. Sometimes, as Mr. Coalson said, errors and mistakes are made and we move forward from there, and that is the best we can do.

RE: ADJOURNMENT OF MEETING

There being no further business to come before this Board, the meeting was adjourned on motion by O. O. Williams, seconded by C. T. Redd III and carried unanimously.

COPY TESTE:

C. L. Schools, Chairman
Board of Supervisors

T. L. Funkhouser,
County Administrator
Clerk to the Board