

**MINUTES
KING WILLIAM COUNTY
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
REGULAR MEETING OF JUNE 22, 2020**

A regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of King William County, Virginia, was held on the 22nd day of June, 2020, beginning at 7:00 p.m. in the Board Meeting Room of the County Administration Building and via Zoom.

Agenda Item 1. CALL TO ORDER

Chairman Greenwood called the meeting to order.

Agenda Item 2. ROLL CALL

The members were polled:

Supervisor, 2nd District: Travis J. Moskalski – Vice Chairman	Aye
Supervisor, 3rd District: William L. Hodges	Aye
Supervisor, 4th District: C. Stewart Garber, Jr.	Aye
Supervisor, 5th District: Edwin H. Moren, Jr.	Aye
Supervisor, 1st District: Stephen K. Greenwood - Chairman	Aye

Also, in attendance:

Bobbie H. Tassinari, County Administrator
Olivia S. Lawrence, Deputy Clerk

Agenda Item 3. MOMENT OF SILENCE

The Chairman called for a moment of silence.

Agenda Item 4. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Chairman led the pledge of allegiance.

Agenda Item 5. REVIEW AND ADOPTION OF MEETING AGENDA

Supervisor Moskalski moved for the adoption of the amended agenda for this meeting with the aforementioned changes; motion was seconded by Supervisor Hodges.

The members were polled:

Supervisor, 3rd District: William L. Hodges	Aye
Supervisor, 4th District: C. Stewart Garber, Jr.	Aye
Supervisor, 5th District: Edwin H. Moren, Jr.	Aye
Supervisor, 2nd District: Travis J. Moskalski – Vice Chairman	Aye
Supervisor, 1st District: Stephen K. Greenwood - Chairman	Aye

Agenda Item 6. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD

Chairman Greenwood opened the public comment period.

1. Lisa Mason, of Roanoke Trail, spoke of recent events surrounding George Floyd and the believed favoritism within the King William County Sheriff's Department.

There being no further speakers, Chairman Greenwood closed the public comment period.

Agenda Item 7. CONSENT AGENDA

Supervisor Moskalski moved for the approval of the Consent Agenda with the aforementioned changes; motion was seconded by Supervisor Garber.

The Chairman called for any discussion.

There being no discussion the Consent Agenda was approved by the following roll call vote:

Supervisor, 4th District: C. Stewart Garber, Jr.	Aye
Supervisor, 5th District: Edwin H. Moren, Jr.	Aye
Supervisor, 2nd District: Travis J. Moskalski – Vice Chairman	Aye
Supervisor, 3rd District: William L. Hodges	Aye
Supervisor, 1st District: Stephen K. Greenwood - Chairman	Aye

Agenda Item 8. PRESENTATIONS

a. King William County Public Schools Requests for CARES Relief Funds and Update on 2020-2021 School Year

Dr. David White, Superintendent of King William County Public Schools, spoke of CDC guidelines for returning to school in the fall. He stated they'd need to attend on alternate schedules in order to maintain social guidelines. Dr. White stated the requested CARES Relief Act funds by the School had been reduced due to the direct CARES Relief aid they had received. Revised essential expenditures total \$414,306 after receiving \$199,494 in CARES Act Funding of their own.

Supervisor Garber questioned if there would be enough school buses for this plan.

Supervisor Moskalski questioned the lower expenditure amount.

Supervisor Moren questioned the dollar amount it'd take to continue the meals program.

Agenda Item 9. OLD BUSINESS

a. Public Hearing and Consideration of Ordinance 05-20 – Proposed Amendment to King William Code Chapter 26, Section 26-32; Court Costs for Funding of Court House and Courtroom Security Personnel

Bobbie Tassinari, County Administrator, presented Ordinance 05-20. The Virginia General Assembly, 2020 Session, amended §53.1-120. D. “... may assess a sum not to exceed \$20 as part of the costs in each criminal or traffic case in its district...” This is an amendment allowing localities to increase the current fee of \$10.00 up to a maximum \$20.00 to support the Courtroom Security Personnel managed by the Sheriff's Office. (Attachment A)

The County implemented the fee in June 2002, via Ordinance Amendment to Code of King William County, Virginia Chapter 9, Article V “Assessment of Court Costs” Section 9.72 (The current Section 9.72 will be renumbered 9.74). The vote was unanimous by the Board of Supervisors. (Attachment B)

The County amended the fee in August 2007, via Ordinance Proposed Amendment to King William County Code Chapter 26; Courts; Section 26-32; Court Costs for Funding of Court House and Courtroom Security Personnel. The fee was raised from \$5.00 to the maximum of \$10.00 as approved by the 2007 General Assembly. (Attachment C)

The Board of Supervisors were provided the information at the June 8th Work Session with the request by staff to consider approving after the Public Hearing to be held at the June 22, 2020 Board meeting. Staff recommended amending the King William County Ordinance to increase the fee from \$10.00 to \$20.00 per Senate Bill 149, 2020 General Assembly Session.

Chairman Greenwood opened the public hearing. There were no speakers.

There being no speakers, Chairman Greenwood closed the public hearing.

Upon the motion of Supervisor Moskalski, seconded by Supervisor Hodges, Ordinance 05-20 was adopted by the following roll call vote:

Supervisor, 5th District: Edwin H. Moren, Jr.	Aye
Supervisor, 2nd District: Travis J. Moskalski – Vice Chairman	Aye
Supervisor, 3rd District: William L. Hodges	Aye
Supervisor, 4th District: C. Stewart Garber, Jr.	Aye
Supervisor, 1st District: Stephen K. Greenwood - Chairman	Aye

ORDINANCE 05-20
An Ordinance to Amend the King William County Code,
Chapter 26. Courts, Section 26-32, Court Costs for Funding of Court
House and Courtroom Security Personnel.

WHEREAS, King William County is authorized by Virginia Code §53.1-120 to assess a sum not in excess of \$10.00 as part of the costs in each criminal or traffic case in its district or circuit court in which the defendant is convicted of a violation of any statute or ordinance; and

WHEREAS, King William County adopted an ordinance at the June 2002 Board of Supervisors meeting authorizing the collection of such fee; and

WHEREAS, on August 2007 the King William County Board of Supervisors amended, per the changes implemented by the 2007 Session of the General Assembly, increasing the fee from \$5.00 to \$10.00; and

WHEREAS, the 2020 Session of the General Assembly has amended §53.1-120 to increase the maximum allowable fee from \$10.00 to \$20.00; and

WHEREAS, the proposed amendment has been advertised by the Board and a public hearing was conducted on June 22, 2020 on the proposed amendment; and

WHEREAS, the Board believes it appropriate to amend the King William County Code, Chapter 26. Courts, Section 26-32, Court Costs for Funding of Court House and Courtroom Security Personnel as recommended by staff; and

WHEREAS, the amendment shall be effective July 1, 2020; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED AND ENACTED, that the Board of Supervisors of King William County, Virginia, does this June 22, 2020, repeal the current fee and adopt the proposed fee effective July 1, 2020.

b. Public Hearing and Consideration of Ordinance 06-20 – CUP to Construct a 250 FT Self Supporting Communication Tower

Ron Etter, Director of Community Development, presented Ordinance 06-20, proposing to construct a 250-foot self-supporting communication tower to be located at 13191 Mount Olive Cohoke Road, Tax Map Parcel 55-15G and consists of 25.01 acres.

Lisa Murphey, of the Local Zoning Council for Verizon Wireless, presented specifics of the project, stating by June of 2019, 59.2% adults and 70.5% of children lived in wireless only households.

Chairman Greenwood opened the public hearing.

1. Benjamin Shumaker, of the 4th District, stated his support of Ordinance 06-20.

There being no further speakers, Chairman Greenwood closed the public hearing.

Upon the motion of Supervisor Moskalski, seconded by Supervisor Moren, Ordinance 06-20 was approved by the following roll call vote:

Supervisor, 2nd District: Travis J. Moskalski – Vice Chairman	Aye
Supervisor, 3rd District: William L. Hodges	Aye
Supervisor, 4th District: C. Stewart Garber, Jr.	Aye
Supervisor, 5th District: Edwin H. Moren, Jr.	Aye
Supervisor, 1st District: Stephen K. Greenwood - Chairman	Aye

**ORDINANCE 06-20
AN ORDINANCE APPROVING CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT CUP-01-20
SWEET SUE SOLAR ENERGY CENTER**

WHEREAS, Section 86-800 of the King William County Code provides for the development of telecommunication towers associated with properties in the A-C, Agricultural-Conservation zoning district following review and approval of a Conditional Use Permit; and

WHEREAS, applicant Verizon Wireless submitted a Conditional Use Permit application, CUP-01-20, on behalf of the Property Owners Robert H. Green Jr. and Jana D. Green proposing to construct a 250-foot self-supporting communication tower (254' with the lightening rod). The proposed tower is to be located at 13191 Mount Olive Cohoke Road, Tax Map Parcel 55-15G.

WHEREAS, staff in the King William County Department of Community Development have proposed conditions to mitigate potential impacts of the use on the public; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission voted unanimously to recommend that the Board of Supervisors approve such application as proposed; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors conducted a public hearing on June 22, 2020 to consider CUP-01-20; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, the King William County Board of Supervisors this 22nd day of June, 2020, hereby approves CUP-01-20, with the following conditions:

- 1) The CUP shall permit a telecommunication tower for which a valid and current building permit is issued within two (2) years of conditional use permit approval. For any construction which does not have a valid and current building permit at the end of the initial two (2) year period; the applicant may seek an extension for the deadline of any remaining construction from the Zoning Administrator. A request for an extension shall be submitted in writing to the Zoning Administrator prior to the expiration. The Zoning Administrator shall consider the compliance history with these conditions and the Code of King William County in the decision to grant the request. If the Zoning Administrator does not grant the extension, the request shall be forwarded to the Board of Supervisors for consideration and action.
- 2) No signage of any type may be placed on the tower or accompanying facility other than notices, warnings, and identification information required by law.
- 3) The Applicant will provide one total vertical space on the proposed tower to King William County for the County's emergency services equipment at no cost; provided that the County submits an application to the Applicant through its contractor, KGI, the County agrees to execute a zero-dollar lease agreement and the County otherwise agrees to abide by Verizon Wireless' Collocation Guidelines. The County will not sublet its space on the tower or ground.

- 4) The tower must meet all Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Federal Communications Commission (FCC) and any other agency of the federal government.
- 5) Verizon Wireless must provide a Nonionizing Electromagnetic Radiation Report (NIER) which contains information on the amount of electromagnetic radiation emissions generated by the telecommunication antenna installation. The report shall be in a format acceptable to the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) and shall also include the following information: Electromagnet Emissions (EME) site studies, EME site modeling, non-ionizing radiation (NIR) modeling, site safety plans, and site remediation. The NIER report must be submitted prior to obtaining approval of a building permit.
- 6) All utilities leading to the site will be placed underground, unless prohibited by the State or Federal agency regulating such utilities.
- 7) All E-911 calls received by the tower shall be routed to public safety answering points in accordance with Federal and State law.
- 8) The tower must be lit in compliance with FAA requirements.
- 9) The tower must have a galvanized steel finish. Dish antennas will be of a neutral, non-reflective color with no logos.
- 10) The equipment cabinets and any necessary buildings must be neutral colors. The tower compound must be fenced with an eight (8) foot high fence and the compound will need to be surrounded with landscaping, which will screen the base of the tower and the ground equipment. The security fencing shall be screened with a ten (10) foot landscape buffer consisting of evergreen trees. The tower must be equipped with an anticleimbing device. All fencing to be maintained by the applicant.
- 11) Once the tower is no longer active or in use for a 24-month period, it shall be considered abandoned and the owner of the tower shall remove the tower within 90 days. Removal includes the removal of the tower, all tower and fence footers, underground cables and support buildings. When a tower is deemed to be abandoned, an owner wishing to extend the time for removal shall submit an application stating the reason for such extension. The Zoning Administrator may extend the time for removal or reactivation up to 60 additional days upon a showing of good cause. If the antenna support structure or antenna is not removed within the specified time, the County may contract for removal. Thereafter, the county may cause removal of the antenna support structure with costs being borne by the owner of the tower or the land owner. All costs of removal shall be charged to the landowner and become a lien on the property on which the tower is located. A bond shall be submitted to the County for the total cost of removal plus 25%.

c. Bond Series 2002A and 2002B Escrow Agreement Relating to the Defeasance of the General Obligation School Bonds (Resolution 20-30)

Natasha Joranlien, Director of Financial Services, presented Resolution 20-30, to clean up the error. The balance of principal and interest due is \$ 97,931.00 which will be funding from FY 2020-year end reconciliation.

The County issued two series of bonds in 2002 through VPSA as part of the VPSA 2002B Bond series. One was called Series A and the other Series B. In 2018, the County set up an escrow to pay off the 2002A VPSA bonds. In addition, the County took the opportunity in 2019 to pay off the 2002B VPSA bonds that were not previously defeased. Due to the terms used to name the bond issues (Series A and B of Series 2002B), VPSA used the funds provided in 2019 to pay off the 2002A portion of the bonds. The County was under the impression and had directed VPSA to pay off the 2002B portion. The County is now revising the 2018 escrow agreement to make it applicable and sufficient to pay off the Series B portion of the 2002B Bonds instead of the Series A portion. The County is not paying any additional amounts on either bond to pay them off. The 2002A bonds have been paid in full, and the 2002B bonds will be paid in full from the amended escrow at maturity in 2022.

Upon the motion of Supervisor Garber, seconded by Supervisor Hodges, Resolution 20-30 was approved by the following roll call vote:

Supervisor, 2nd District: Travis J. Moskalski – Vice Chairman	Aye
Supervisor, 3rd District: William L. Hodges	Aye
Supervisor, 4th District: C. Stewart Garber, Jr.	Aye
Supervisor, 5th District: Edwin H. Moren, Jr.	Aye
Supervisor, 1st District: Stephen K. Greenwood - Chairman	Aye

RESOLUTION 20-30

PROVIDING FOR THE EXECUTION AND DELIVERY OF AN ESCROW AGREEMENT RELATING TO THE DEFEASANCE OF A GENERAL OBLIGATION SCHOOL BOND, SERIES 2002B, OF THE COUNTY OF KING WILLIAM, VIRGINIA AND APPROVING OTHER MATTERS IN CONNECTION THEREWITH

WHEREAS, the County of King William, Virginia (the “County”) is a political subdivision of the Commonwealth of Virginia (the “Commonwealth”) with the power to issue school bonds for the purpose of, among other things, designing, acquiring, constructing, and equipping school facilities; and

WHEREAS, the County issued in 2002 its General Obligation School Bond, Series 2002A (the “2002A Bond”), and its General Obligation School Bond, Series 2002B (the “2002B Bond”), each of which was sold to the Virginia Public School Authority (“VPSA”); and

WHEREAS, the County previously determined to defease the 2002A Bond pursuant to an Escrow Agreement, dated November 1, 2018, by and between the County and U.S. Bank National Association, as escrow agent (the “2002A Escrow Agreement”); and

WHEREAS, as a result of a separate defeasance of the 2002A Bond through VPSA, the funds held under the 2002A Escrow Agreement are no longer necessary for the defeasance of the 2002A Bond; and

WHEREAS, the County has decided to defease the 2002B Bond to the maturity thereof pursuant to an Escrow Agreement, dated as of the date of its execution and delivery (the “2002B Escrow Agreement”), by and between the County and U.S. Bank National Association, as Escrow Agent (the “Escrow Agent”); and

WHEREAS, the County desires to use the funds held in the escrow fund under the 2002A Escrow Agreement to fund, in part, the escrow fund for the defeasance of the 2002B Bond; and

WHEREAS, the form of the 2002B Escrow Agreement has been made available to the members of the Board of Supervisors of the County (the “Board”) and filed in the County’s records;

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF KING WILLIAM, VIRGINIA:

1. Approval of Escrow Agreement. The 2002B Escrow Agreement shall be in substantially the form made available at this meeting, which is hereby approved, with such completions, omissions, insertions and changes (including a change of the date thereof) as may be approved by the Chairman and the Vice Chairman of the Board and the County Administrator, any of whom may act, which approval shall be evidenced conclusively by the execution and delivery of the 2002B Escrow Agreement.

2. Execution and Delivery of Escrow Agreement. The Chairman and the Vice Chairman of the Board and the County Administrator, any of whom may act, are each hereby authorized and directed to execute the 2002B Escrow Agreement on behalf of the County and to deliver it to the Escrow Agent.

3. Authorization of Execution and Delivery of Other Documents. The Chairman and the Vice Chairman of the Board, the County Administrator and other appropriate officials of the County are each hereby authorized to execute and deliver all other certificates, instruments and documents in the name and on behalf of the County and to take all such further action (a) as they may consider necessary or desirable to carry out the intent and purpose of this Resolution and the defeasance of the 2002B Bond, or the execution, delivery and performance of the 2002B Escrow Agreement, or (b) as may be reasonably requested by the Escrow Agent in connection with any of the foregoing.

4. Investment Authorization. The County hereby authorizes the Chairman and the Vice Chairman of the Board and the County Administrator, any of whom may act, and the Escrow Agent to (i) submit a subscription for the purchase and issue of United States Treasury Securities - State and Local Government Series, (ii) obtain competitively a portfolio of securities and/or (iii) invest the funds deposited with the Escrow Agent as otherwise permitted by the 2002B Escrow Agreement and by law until the final maturity date of the 2002B Bond. Furthermore, the County hereby authorizes the Escrow Agent to transfer the funds currently held in the escrow fund under the 2002A Escrow Agreement to fund, in part, the escrow fund established under the 2002B Escrow Agreement for the defeasance of the 2002B Bond.

5. Other Actions. All other actions of County officials taken heretofore or hereafter in conformity with the purposes and intent of this Resolution and in furtherance of the defeasance of the 2002B Bond are ratified, approved and confirmed.

6. Limitation of Liability of Officials of the County. No covenant, condition, agreement or obligation contained herein shall be deemed to be a covenant, condition, agreement or obligation of any officer, employee or agent of the County in his or her individual capacity, and no officer of the County executing the 2002B Escrow Agreement shall be liable personally on the 2002B Escrow Agreement or be subject to any personal liability or accountability by reason of the execution and delivery thereof. No officer, employee or agent of the County shall incur any personal liability with respect to any other action taken by him or her pursuant to this Resolution, provided he or she acts in good faith.

7. Costs and Expenses. The County agrees to pay all costs and expenses in connection with the defeasance of the 2002B Bond, including the fees and expenses of the County Attorney, bond counsel to the County, the County's financial advisor, the Escrow Agent and the verification agent.

8. Repeal of Conflicting Actions. All resolutions, ordinances or parts thereof in conflict herewith are repealed.

9. Effective Date. This Resolution and the provisions contained herein shall become effective immediately upon enactment.

CERTIFICATION

The undersigned Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the County of King William, Virginia hereby certifies (1) that the foregoing constitutes a true, correct and complete copy of a Resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the County of King William, Virginia at a meeting duly called and held on June 22, 2020, with the members present and absent and voting on the Resolution as set forth below; (2) that such meeting was duly convened and held in all respects in accordance with law; and (3) that the foregoing Resolution has not been repealed, revoked, rescinded or amended.

d. Recommended Revisions to the King William County Procurement Manual (Resolution 20-31)

Julie Mills, Fiscal Specialist AP, presented Resolution 20-31.

Supervisor Moren questioned what changes have been made since the last discussion.

Mrs. Mills stated the Terms and Conditions have been sent to our counsel for review.

Supervisor Moren questioned tabling the item until counsel can approve the Terms and Conditions.

Upon the motion of Supervisor Garber, seconded by Supervisor Hodges, Resolution 20-31 was tabled by the following roll call vote:

Supervisor, 4th District: C. Stewart Garber, Jr.	Aye
Supervisor, 5th District: Edwin H. Moren, Jr.	Aye
Supervisor, 2nd District: Travis J. Moskalski – Vice Chairman	Aye
Supervisor, 3rd District: William L. Hodges	Aye
Supervisor, 1st District: Stephen K. Greenwood - Chairman	Aye

e. Delinquent Real Estate Taxes Report

Bobbie Tassinari, County Administrator and Harry Whitt, Treasurer, presented an update regarding delinquent real estate taxes. The amount of past due real estate taxes is about the same as they have been for the past 5 years per the County Treasurer. The total amount changes very little from year to year. The Treasurer's Office sends past due notices twice each year as well as including the past due amount on current year tax bills. This action provides notification to citizens at least four times each year concerning their past due taxes. The Treasurer's Office is currently working with legal counsel to sell properties for past due taxes. As the Treasurer's Office implements this process, the Treasurer is required to offer payment plans to those impacted. There are currently many payments plans in place as of this date. Additionally, there are approximately 10 properties in Chapter 13 bankruptcy for which the Treasurer's Office continues receiving payments on those properties. The Treasurer's Office has in the past published delinquent taxpayer names in the newspaper but stopped about 10 years ago due to the costs. This could be reinstated without additional costs by publishing the names on the County website.

f. CARES Relief Funds Update

Bobbie Tassinari, County Administrator, presented an update regarding the allocations for Federal CARES Coronavirus Relief Funds. She stated the County bank account has been set up, June 1, 2020, for CARES Relief funds deposit and funds received and they're transferred the Town of West Point's allocation of CARES Relief funds (\$285,754.52). Town Manager, John Edwards has provided a letter dated June 9, 2020 agreeing to a separate bank account, adherence with the guidelines of the program, agreement to cooperatively work with County on program administration, and "hold harmless" for expenditures. The Town and County agreed to address the School Districts request separately.

Supervisor Garber questioned the possibility of funding the purchase of additional school buses with the relief funds.

Supervisor Moskalski questioned the logistics of participating in the coupon book for local businesses.

Supervisor Moren questioned whether it was a legal use of the CARES Relief Funds.

Upon the motion of Supervisor Moren, seconded by Supervisor Garber, participation in the MPPDC CAP Program was not approved by the following roll call vote:

Supervisor, 5th District: Edwin H. Moren, Jr.	Aye
Supervisor, 2nd District: Travis J. Moskalski – Vice Chairman	Nay
Supervisor, 3rd District: William L. Hodges	Aye

Supervisor, 4th District: C. Stewart Garber, Jr. Aye
Supervisor, 1st District: Stephen K. Greenwood - Chairman Aye

Agenda Item 10. NEW BUSINESS

a. Recommended Financial Policies for the County (Resolution 20-32)

Natasha Joranlien, Director of Financial Services, presented Resolution 20-32, Financial Policies providing written guidance for how local government officials and staff should approach fiscal issues and core financial areas. Effective financial policies are essential to the county's fiscal health and can help the Board, management, and staff make the right decisions for the citizens now and in the future. They provide stability and continuity over the years as staff and elected officials turn over by establishing what actions are acceptable and unacceptable, identifying who is responsible for taking certain actions, and providing standards to measure the county's performance.

Upon the motion of Supervisor Hodges, seconded by Supervisor Garber, Resolution 20-32 was approved by the following roll call vote:

Supervisor, 2nd District: Travis J. Moskalski – Vice Chairman Aye
Supervisor, 3rd District: William L. Hodges Aye
Supervisor, 4th District: C. Stewart Garber, Jr. Aye
Supervisor, 5th District: Edwin H. Moren, Jr. Aye
Supervisor, 1st District: Stephen K. Greenwood - Chairman Aye

RESOLUTION 20-32

A RESOLUTION ADOPTING KING WILLIAM COUNTY FINANCIAL POLICIES

WHEREAS, the King William County has a responsibility to ensure it handles public funds appropriately; and

WHEREAS, written, adopted financial policies have many benefits in assisting the Board with financial management of the County; and

WHEREAS, the Finance Department recommends that Board adopt financial policies that promote sound financial management practices designed to meet the Board's goals and objectives; and

WHEREAS, staff has prepared King William County Financial Policies document that outlines procedures and safeguards for public fund management; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the King William County Financial Policies, attached hereto as Attachment A is hereby adopted.

Agenda Item 11. ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS FROM COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

a. Board Information

County Administrator, Bobbie Tassinari, noted the information items provided.

Agenda Item 12. BOARD MEMBER COMMENTS

Supervisor Hodges thanked everyone for attending and urged Mrs. Mason to contact Sheriff Walton directly with her concerns.

Supervisor Moskalski commended staff for keeping things moving while working in the pandemic.

Supervisor Garber thanked everyone for attending and thanked Mr. Whitt for presenting. He also wished everyone a happy Fourth of July!

Supervisor Moren commended the staff for their support in the past months and thanked the Sheriff's Department for their support during the march the previous week.

Chairman Greenwood commended the staff for all they do and be safe!

Agenda Item 13. CLOSED MEETING

a. Motion to Convene Closed Meeting

Upon motion of Supervisor Moskalski, seconded by Supervisor Hodges, the Board acted to convene a Closed Meeting pursuant to § 2.2-3711(A) (7), (A) (8) and (A) (1) to consult with legal counsel regarding probable litigation on certain tax appeals where such consultation or briefing in open meeting would adversely affect the negotiating or litigating posture of the public body, to consult with and receive advice from the county attorney regarding the tax appeals and directly related matters.

The roll call vote on the motion was as follows:

Supervisor, 2nd District: Travis J. Moskalski – Vice Chairman	Aye
Supervisor, 3rd District: William L. Hodges	Aye
Supervisor, 4th District: C. Stewart Garber, Jr.	Aye
Supervisor, 5th District: Edwin H. Moren, Jr.	Aye
Supervisor, 1st District: Stephen K. Greenwood - Chairman	Aye

b. Motion to Reconvene in Open Session

Having completed the Closed Meeting, Chairman Greenwood reconvened the regular meeting back to order in Open Session.

c. Certification of Closed Meeting

Chairman Greenwood called for a motion to approve Standing Resolution 1 (SR-1) In accordance with Section 2.2-3717(D) of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended.

Supervisor Hodges moved that the King William County Board of Supervisors adopt the following SR-1 Resolution certifying that the Closed Meeting was conducted in conformity with the requirements of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act; the motion was seconded by Supervisor Moskalski.

Chairman Greenwood announced the motion was properly moved and properly seconded; he called for any discussion. There being no discussion among Board members SR-1 was adopted.

The roll call vote in favor of this motion was as follows:

Supervisor, 2nd District: Travis J. Moskalski – Vice Chairman	Aye
Supervisor, 3rd District: William L. Hodges	Aye
Supervisor, 4th District: C. Stewart Garber, Jr.	Aye
Supervisor, 5th District: Edwin H. Moren, Jr.	Aye
Supervisor, 1st District: Stephen K. Greenwood - Chairman	Aye

**STANDING RESOLUTION – 1 (SR-1)
A RESOLUTION TO CERTIFY COMPLIANCE WITH THE
FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT REGARDING MEETING IN CLOSED MEETING**

WHEREAS, the King William County Board of Supervisors has convened a closed meeting on this date pursuant to an affirmative recorded vote, and in accordance with the provisions of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act; and,

WHEREAS, Section 2.2-3711 of the Code of Virginia requires a certification by the King William County Board of Supervisors that such closed meeting was conducted in conformity with Virginia law,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the King William County Board of Supervisors on this 22nd day of June, 2020, hereby certifies that, to the best of each member's knowledge:

1. Only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements under the Freedom of Information Act were heard, discussed, or considered in the closed meeting to which this certification resolution applies, by the King William County Board of Supervisors.
2. Only such public business matters as were identified in the motion convening the closed meeting were heard, discussed, or considered by the King William County Board of Supervisors.

DONE this the 22nd day of June, 2020.

d. Action on Closed Meeting

Chairman Greenwood announced County Administrator, Bobbie Tassinari, would not be retiring and would be remain County Administrator until at least 2023.

Agenda Item 14. APPOINTMENTS

Upon motion of Supervisor Hodges, second by Supervisor Garber, Edwin H. Moren, Jr. was appointed to the Middle Peninsula Alliance for a term expiring on December 31, 2020 by the following roll call vote:

Supervisor, 3rd District: William L. Hodges	Aye
Supervisor, 4th District: C. Stewart Garber, Jr.	Aye
Supervisor, 5th District: Edwin H. Moren, Jr.	Aye
Supervisor, 2nd District: Travis J. Moskalski – Vice Chairman	Aye
Supervisor, 1st District: Stephen K. Greenwood - Chairman	Aye

Agenda Item 15. ADJOURN

Upon motion of Supervisor Hodges, second by Supervisor Garber, the meeting was adjourned by the following roll call vote:

Supervisor, 4th District: C. Stewart Garber, Jr.	Aye
Supervisor, 5th District: Edwin H. Moren, Jr.	Aye
Supervisor, 2nd District: Travis J. Moskalski – Vice Chairman	Aye
Supervisor, 3rd District: William L. Hodges	Aye
Supervisor, 1st District: Stephen K. Greenwood - Chairman	Aye

COPY TESTE:

Stephen K. Greenwood, Chairman
Board of Supervisors

Olivia S. Lawrence
Deputy Clerk to the Board